

From: [Richard](#)
To: michele.kvam@pse.com; [UTC DL Records Center](#)
Cc: [Don Marsh](#); [Russell Borgmann](#); [Bill Pascoe](#); [Cebulko, Bradley \(UTC\)](#); [Brian Grunkenmeyer 2](#); [Colamonic, Carla \(ATG\)](#); [Charlie Black](#); ["Charlie Grist"](#); [Court Olson](#); ["Court Olson II"](#); ["D. Mitchentree"](#); [Daren Anderson - NESCO group](#); [David Broustis](#); [David Lowrey](#); [Nightingale, David \(UTC\)](#); [Devin McGreal](#); [Don Marsh](#); [Doug Howell](#); [Ed Finklea](#); [Osborne, Elizabeth \(COM\)](#); ["emoe@umci.com"](#); ["Franco Albi"](#); ["Hill, Nate"](#); [James Adcock](#); [Jay Story](#); [Snyder, Jennifer \(UTC\)](#); [John Fazio](#); [Kelly Hall](#); [Ken Nichols](#); [Frankiewich, Kyle \(UTC\)](#); [Lea Fisher](#); ["Liz Thomas"](#); ["Mannetti, John"](#); [Mark Sellers-Vaughn](#); [Michael O'Brien](#); [Milos Stefanovic](#); [Nicole Luckey](#); [Nicols Matz](#); [Norm Hansen](#); ["Popoff, Phillip"](#); [Renee Gastineau](#); ["Saldivar, Marty"](#); [Scott Richards](#); [Shauna Jensen](#); [Johnson, Steven \(UTC\)](#); [Vlad Gutman-Britten](#); [Warren Halvrseeson](#); [Willard Westre](#); [Reynolds, Deborah \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Re: Comments and Questions on PSE's Draft 2017 Integrated Resource Plan in UE-160918...another question
Date: Thursday, September 14, 2017 4:30:48 AM

Records- Please include this email in PSE IRP Docket No. UE-160918

Michele-

In your recent email sending out the Draft 2017 PSE IRP you state: *"After reviewing the material, if you have concerns or questions, please let me know, and we will include them at the October 5 IRPAG meeting."*

On Tuesday September 12, 2017 I sent to you a series of comments and questions on PSE's Draft IRP that I asked that be responded to at the October 5, IRPAG meeting.

By this email I am asking another question that I ask be responded to at the October 5, IRPAG meeting. This question is tied up by the document included in the records for UE-160918 titled "160918 Fatal Flaws in the PSE justification of the need for Energize Eastside.pdf" posted on the WUTC web site on August 21,2017.

The question is:

PSE has been aware for some time that it should not have required the flows to and from Canada in their load flow studies. Further, PSE is fully aware that they cannot meet their winter peak loads with their west of Cascades generation fully off. That being the case, why has PSE not rerun the load flow studies to correct these flaws in the studies they ran to attempt to show the need for Energize Eastside?

I look forward to getting a response to this question (and the other questions, challenges and concerns I provided in my September 12,2017 email) at the October 5, IRPAG meeting.

Richard Lauckhart
Energy Consultant

On behalf of a large number of eastside residents that are concerned about transmission plans

on the eastside.