Exhibit T \_\_\_ (RGC-1T)
Docket No. TO-011472
Witness: Robert G. Colbo

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission,	)	<b>DOCKET NO. TO-011472</b>
Complainant,	)	
v.	)	
Olympic Pipe Line Company, Inc.,	)	R.C.
Respondent	) ) )	EGORDS III 02 JAN -4 \$1475 0 UTIL AND CORNII
		San 2

TESTIMONY OF ROBERT G. COLBO

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

January 4, 2002

1	Q. Please state your name and business address.
2	A. My name is Robert Colbo. My business address is 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive
3	S.W., Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.
4	
5	Q. By whom are you employed and in what utilization?
6	A. I am employed by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
7	as a Transportation Program Consultant.
8	
9	Q. Have you prepared a summary of your qualifications and experience?
10	A. Yes. Exhibit No (RGC-2) is my Statement of Qualifications and Experience.
11	
12	Q. What is the subject of your testimony?
13	A. I will testify regarding the Staff recommended level of interim relief for Olympic
14	Pipe Line Company, Inc. (Olympic or Company) in Docket TO-011472.
15	
16	Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this proceeding?
17	A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit Nos (RGC-2) through (RGC-3).
18	
19	Q. What is the level of interim rate relief Staff recommends?
20	A. Staff recommends an increase in Washington intrastate revenues of 19.48%, or
21	\$2,719,829, subject to refund. Staff witness Mr. Elgin is responsible for the

1	subject to refund issue, and the pre-tax net income requirement of \$8,880,000
2	shown on my Exhibit No (RGC-3) at line 27, Column (G).
3	
4	Q. Referring you to Exhibit No (RGC-3), entitled "Olympic Pipe Line
5	Company, Inc., Total Company Interim Results, 2001 Forecast - Adjusted"
6	what is the purpose of this exhibit?
7	A. This exhibit summarizes the information and calculations I used to support Staff's
8	recommended level of interim rate relief. My intent was to produce a short-term,
9	representative estimate of the Company's future revenues and expenses since the
10	pipeline resumed operations in June, 2001, at 80% of pressure and 91% of
11	calendar year 1998 throughput levels (pre-Whatcom Creek explosion).
12	
13	Q. Has Staff audited the Company and completed its analysis of the Company's
14	results of operations?
15	A. No. Staff has conducted only limited analysis. That is why the Staff adjustments
16	in my Exhibit No (RGC-3) are preliminary and that is one reason why Staff
17	is recommending the interim rates be subject to refund.
18	
19 -	Q. Please describe Exhibit No (RGC-3).
20	A. The first column provides line numbers to make it easier to discuss the exhibit.
21	Column (A), entitled "Description," lines 1 through line 27, lists the major
22	revenue and expense account titles used to portray the Company's operating
23	results.

1	Column (B), entitled Olympic Total Company July-December 2001
2	Forecast," lines 1 through line 27, reflects Olympic's total company year 2001
3	forecasted results of operations for the months of July through December. This
4	forecast reflects actual operations for July through November, 2001, and estimates
5	for December. This is the starting point for Staff's analysis. Throughput barrels
6	for this period are shown on line 38.
7	Column (C), entitled "Preliminary Staff Adjustments," lines 1 through 27,
8	reflects preliminary adjustments Staff has made to the Company's 6 month (July-
9	December) 2001 forecast. Lines 28 through 37 provide a brief explanation of the
10	adjustments made in Column (C).
11	Column (D), entitled "Staff Adjusted Total Company July-December 2001
12	Forecast" is Column (B) plus Column (C), and represents the cumulative effect of
13	Staff's preliminary adjustments.
14	Column (E), entitled "Annualize Staff 6 Month Amounts - Full Year
15	Impacts - 2001 Forecast," lines 1 through 27, reflects Column (D) amounts times
16	2.
17	Column (F), entitled "Staff Effect of Interim Rates" shows the impact of
18	Staff's recommended interim revenue increase.
19	Column (G), entitled "Staff Adjusted Forecast w/Interim Rates," lines 1
20	through line 27, reflects the Company's results through 2001, according to Staff's
21	analysis. Staff witness Mr. Elgin addresses the \$8,880,000 figure on line 27.
22	Line 38 shows the barrels of throughput associated with these results.

1		The box at lines 39-43 shows the Staff's total company interim revenue
2		increase recommendation, and the resulting 19.48% increase. It also shows the
3		intrastate/interstate split (38.23% intrastate / 61.77% interstate).
4		
5	Q.	Why have you proposed the 6 months of July – December 2001 as a starting
6		point, rather than including results for January – June 2001?
7	A.	July 2001 was the first full month after the Company began operating at 80% of
8		normal pressure and approximately 91% of utilization. Prior to that time,
9		segments of the pipeline were out of service. Accordingly, the first half of 2001
10		is not representative of Olympic's ongoing operations. In my analysis, I
11		annualize (multiplying by 2) results for the last six months of 2001. That is more
12		reflective of current, ongoing operations than including the first 6 months' results.
13		
14	Q.	Please explain Staff Adjustment 1 in Column (C), line 1: "Transportation."
15	A.	Adjustment 1 in Column (C), line 1 removes the revenue impact of the 62%
16		interim increase the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) allowed to
17		become effective September 1, 2001. That increase was granted subject to
18		refund, pending FERC's ultimate determination in the case. Removing the
19		\$4,392,130 amount puts both regulatory jurisdictions on an equal basis in their
20		independent determinations of appropriate rate levels.

21

O	. Please explai	in Staff Adiu	istment 2 in C	Column (C).	line 6: "Salaries.'
v	· I Ivast capia	m Duan Auju	isument 2 in C		

A. At this point Staff has not done a detailed analysis of salaries. I note, however, that current salary expense contended for by the Company in its general rate case is \$7,380,000, from Exhibit No. \_\_\_ (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, the sum of lines 1 and 9. This amount is significantly higher than recent past experience. Certain of the Company's promissory notes appear to restrict any salary expense increases that are inconsistent with past practice. Accordingly, I have not reflected the Company's general rate case amount. I reflect two times the half-year (June – December 2001) amount from the Company's forecast. This level is still significantly higher than levels in prior years.

I am also aware that beginning in August, 2000, the Company established a Government and Public Affairs operation with an initial 6 month budget through the end of the year of \$996,000. The Company has not yet demonstrated that these types of expenditures are not related to the Whatcom Creek explosion, the Cross-Cascades Pipeline Project, or lobbying efforts. Nevertheless, pending final determination in the general rate case, and for the purposes of the current interim determinations, I reduced half-year salary expenses by \$498,000 – one-half of the \$996,000 figure.

## Q. Please explain Staff Adjustment 3 in Column (6), line 7: "Supplies."

A. Adjustment 3 increases "Supplies" by \$430,096 which, when combined with the amount in Column (B) and multiplied by 2 in Column (E) conforms to the detail for this account as depicted in the Company's general rate application at Exhibit

1	No (OPL-31, CAI	1-4), Schedule 21, the sum of lines 2 and 10. The resulting
2	\$1,889,000 appears to	be a representative level, consistent with the Company's
3	experience prior to the	explosion.
4		
5	Q. Please explain Staff A	djustment 4 in Column (6), line 8: "Outside
6	Services/Major Maint	tenance" and line 10: "Administrative."
7	A. Adjustment 4 decreases	s "Outside Services/Major Maintenance" and
8	"Administrative" by a	net (\$708,178) which, when combined with the amount in
9	Column (B), and multip	plied by 2 in Column (E) conforms to the detail for this
10	account as depicted in	the Company's general rate application at Exhibit No
11	(OPL-31, CAH-4), Sch	edule 21, the sum of lines 3 and 11.
12		
13	Q. Please explain Staff A	djustment 5 in Column (C), line 11: "Oil Gain/Loss."
14	A. Adjustment 5 increases	"Oil Gain/Loss" expense by \$436,746 which, when
15	combined with the amo	ount in Column (B) and multiplied by 2 in Column (E)
16	conforms to the detail f	or this account as depicted in the Company's general rate
17	application at Exhibit N	No (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, line 5. This
18	account tracks gains/los	ses in product value due to transmix/interface of shipments
19	through the pipeline. T	the resulting \$550,000 expense is high, but comparable to
20	amounts that have been	incurred in the recent past.
21		

1	Q.	Please explain Stall Adjustment 6 in Column (C), line 12: "Miscellaneous."
2	A.	Adjustment 6 adds \$881,552 of "Miscellaneous Expense" which, when combined
3		with the amount in Column (B) and multiplied by 2 in Column (E) conforms to
4		the detail for this account as depicted in the Company's general rate application at
5		Exhibit No (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, line 7: "Other Expenses."
6		
7	Q.	Please explain Staff Adjustment 7 in Column (C), line 17: "Insurance."
8	A.	Adjustment 7 increases insurance expense by \$279,140 which, when combined
9		with the amount in Column (B) and multiplied by 2 in Column (E) conforms to
10		the detail for this account as depicted in the Company's general rate application at
11		Exhibit No (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, line 5. The \$1,102,000 in
12		column (E) is consistent with the renewal notice the Company has received for
13		insurance for 2002.
14		
15	Q.	Please explain Staff Adjustment 8 in Column (C), lines 18 and 19: "Casualty
16		Loss" and "Insurance Recoveries" respectively.
17	A.	Adjustment 8 removes the direct casualty losses and associated insurance
18		recoveries recorded by the Company resulting from the June, 1999 Whatcom
19		Creek explosion. The Company is not seeking to recover these amounts through
20		rates in its general rate application, and it is also improper to include them in an
21		analysis of on-going operating results to justify interim relief.
22		

1	Q.	. Why haven't you made any adjustments to the power related expenses on
2		lines 14 through 16?
3	A.	The staff has not yet made a detailed analysis of the Company's power related
4		expenses. The \$8,509,104 amount in Column (E), line 16 is less than the
5		\$10,678,325 amount the Company is contending for in its general rate application
6		in Exhibit No (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, line 4 "Operating Fuel &
7		Power." On a cents per barrel basis, the lower amount is consistent with recent
8		past experience.
9		
10	Q.	Why are the amounts for Property and Franchise Tax on lines 21 and 22
11		unadjusted beyond the normal doubling that takes place in Column (E)?
12	A.	These amounts are consistent with recent past experience prior to the Whatcom
13		Creek explosion.
14		
15	Q.	Please explain Staff Adjustment 9 in Column (C), line 23: "Interest."
16	A.	Adjustment 9 reclassifies Interest as a cost of capital, rather than an operating
17		expense. The Company recovers interest requirements as a part of its overall
18		return on rate base. To include interest as an operating expense as well as in the
19		return calculation would provide a double recovery.
20		
21	Q.	Please explain Staff Adjustment 10 in Column (C), line 24: "Depreciation."
22	A.	Adjustment 10 adds \$204,543 to "Depreciation" expense which, when combined
23		with the amount in Column (B) and multiplied by 2 in Column (E) conforms to

1	•	the detail for this account as depicted in the Company's general rate application at
2		Exhibit No (OPL-31, CAH-4), Schedule 21, line 13.
3		
4	Q.	Please explain Columns (E) through (G) of Exhibit No (RGC-3).
5	A.	Column (E) annualizes the totals in Column (D) by multiplying column (D)
6		figures times 2, to yield a full 12 month impact. The 103,015,886 annual
7		throughput barrels on line 38 are close to the 105,897,682 barrels the Company
8		uses in its general rate case, as depicted in Exhibit No(OPL-31, CAH-4),
9		Schedule 22.1, line 32.
10		On a going forward basis, Olympic's \$1,765,617 Net Income Before Tax
11		on line 27, Column (E), must be offset against the \$8,880,000 pre-tax net income
12		requirement on line 27, Column (G) provided to me by Staff witness Mr. Elgin.
13		See page 21 of Exhibit No (KLE-1T). The resulting Staff recommended
14		total Company interim revenue increase is \$7,114,383 (19.48 %) as shown on line
15		1, Column (F). The Washington intrastate portion is \$2,719,829, as indicated on
16		line 42 of my Exhibit No (RGC-3).
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
18	A.	Yes.