

ATTACHMENT 1

Qwest's Current Performance Assurance Plan (PAP) & Performance Indicator Definitions (PID)

Exhibit K

PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN

1.0 Introduction

1.1 As set forth in this Agreement, Qwest and CLEC voluntarily agree to the terms of the following Performance Assurance Plan ("PAP"), initially prepared in conjunction with Qwest's application for approval under Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act") to offer in-region long distance service and subsequently modified in accordance with the Commission's orders and, where applicable, by operation of law.

2.0 Plan Structure

2.1 The PAP is a two-tiered, self-executing remedy plan. CLEC shall be provided with Tier 1 payments if, as applicable, Qwest does not provide parity between the service it provides to CLEC and that which it provides to its own retail customers, or Qwest fails to meet applicable benchmarks.

2.1.1 As specified in section 7.0, if Qwest fails to meet parity and benchmark standards on an aggregate CLEC basis, Qwest shall make Tier 2 payments to a Fund established by the state regulatory commission or, if required by existing law, to the state general fund.

2.2 As specified in sections 6.0 and 7.0 and Attachments 1 and 2, payment is generally on a per occurrence basis, (i.e., a set dollar payment times the number of non-conforming service events). For the performance measurements which do not lend themselves to per occurrence payment, payment is on a per measurement basis, (i.e., a set dollar payment). The level of payment also depends upon the number of consecutive months of non-conforming performance, (i.e., an escalating payment the longer the duration of non-conforming performance) unless otherwise specified.

2.3 Qwest shall be in conformance with the parity standard when service Qwest provides to CLEC is equivalent to that which it provides to its retail customers. The PAP relies upon statistical scoring to determine whether any difference between CLEC and Qwest performance results is significant, that is, not attributable to simple random variation. Statistical parity shall exist when performance results for CLEC and for Qwest retail analogue result in a z-value that is no greater than the critical z-values listed in the Critical Z-Statistical Table in section 5.0.

2.4 For performance measurements that have no Qwest retail analogue, agreed upon benchmarks shall be used. Benchmarks shall be evaluated using a "stare and compare" method. For example, if the benchmark is for a particular performance measurement is 95% or better, Qwest performance results must be at least 95% to meet the benchmark. Percentage benchmarks will be adjusted to round the allowable number of misses up or down to the closest integer, except when a benchmark standard and low CLEC volume are such that a

Exhibit K

100% performance result would be required to meet the standard and has not been attained in which case section 3.1.2 applies.

3.0 Performance Measurements

3.1 The performance measurements that are in the PAP and either (1) subject to the PAP payment mechanisms or (2) not subject to the PAP payment mechanisms but subject to the Reinstatement/Removal Process set forth in section 3.2 below are identified in Attachment 1 and sections 6.3 and 7.4. Each performance measurement identified is defined in the Performance Indicator Definitions ("PIDs") included in the SGAT at Exhibit B.

3.1.1 On Attachment 1, the measurements have been designated as Tier 1, Tier 2, or both Tier 1 and Tier 2 and given a High, Medium, or Low designation.

3.1.2 Where applicable elsewhere in the PAP, this provision modifies other provisions and operates as follows: For any Tier 1 or Tier 2 benchmark or non-interval parity performance sub-measure, Qwest shall apply one allowable miss to a sub-measure disaggregation that otherwise would require 100% performance before the performance is considered as non-conforming to standard (1) if at the CLEC-aggregate level, the performance standard is met or (2) where the CLEC-aggregate performance must be 100% to meet the standard, the CLEC-aggregate performance is conforming after applying one allowable miss at that level.

3.2 The following measures, which are listed in Attachment 1 or section 7.4, are not subject to the payment mechanisms of the PAP; however, they are subject to the PID Reinstatement/Removal Process. All other measures listed in Attachment 1, section 6.3 or section 7.4 are subject to the PAP payment mechanisms, but they are not subject to the PID Reinstatement/Removal Process.

- GA-3 Gateway Availability EB-TA
- GA-4 System Availability EXACT
- GA-7 Timely Outage Resolution following Software Releases
- PO-2B Electronic Flow-through
- PO-3 LSR Rejection Notice Interval
- PO-5D Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) On Time (ASRs for LIS Trunks)
- PO-7 Billing Completion Notification Timeliness
- PO-8 Jeopardy Notice Interval
- PO-16 Timely Release Notifications
- OP-17 Timeliness of Disconnects Associated with LNP Orders
- MR-11 LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within Specified Timeframes
- BI-4 Billing Completeness
- NI-1 Trunk Blocking
- NP-1 NXX Code Activation

3.3 PID Reinstatement/Removal Process: If Qwest's performance for any sub-measure of the PIDs listed in section 3.2 above does not conform to the established PID standard as set

Exhibit K

forth in the PAP for three consecutive months, that sub-measure will be reinstated (i.e., be subject to the PAP payment mechanisms) subject to the retroactive payment provision of section 3.3.2 and subject to the PAP payment mechanisms effective in the month following the three consecutive months. The determination of whether a PID sub-measure is reinstated is made no later than at the end of the second month following the third consecutive month of non-conforming performance. The sub-measure will remain subject to the PAP payment mechanisms until Qwest's performance for that sub-measure satisfies the established standards for three consecutive months. Effective the month following such conforming performance, the sub-measure will no longer be subject to the PAP payment mechanisms but will continue to be subject to the PID Reinstatement/Removal Process. The determination of whether a PID sub-measure is removed from being subject to the PAP payment mechanisms is made no later than the end of the second month following the third consecutive month of conforming performance. Where applicable elsewhere in the PAP, this PID Reinstatement/Removal Process modifies other provisions and operates as follows:

3.3.1 Disaggregation and Reporting Levels: Performance will be evaluated at the lowest level of disaggregation defined in Exhibit B of the SGAT on a CLEC-aggregated or other-aggregated basis such that performance is evaluated for the purposes of administering the Reinstatement/Removal Process on a statewide or regionwide level, as applicable per the PID.

3.3.2 Retroactive Payments: To calculate retroactive payments for the sub-measures reinstated, PAP payment mechanisms will be applied to the three consecutive months in which the standard was missed, which triggered reinstatement. These retroactive payments will be made to applicable CLECs or the Tier 2 fund, depending upon the tier designation of the PID, at the end of the third month after the month in which performance triggered re-instatement.

3.3.2.1 Accounting for Payments: In support of retroactive payments (section 3.3.2 above), Qwest will account separately for PAP payments that would have been made to individual CLECs or to the Tier 2 Fund for a sub-measure as though it had been subject to the PAP payment mechanisms, where automatic reinstatement applies, and account separately in the same manner for the time between when it is determined that a sub-measure met the standard for automatic removal and the effective date of removal (the month following the three consecutive "met" months). With regard to sub-measures that are subsequently removed again through this process, any PAP payments made during the three consecutive months which triggers automatic removal will not be recovered by Qwest.

3.3.2.2 Interest: In the case of automatic reinstatement, retroactive payments will include interest calculated at the prime rate as reported in the *Wall Street Journal* from the date a payment would have been made to the date the payment is actually made.

Exhibit K

3.3.2.3 Tracking: Qwest will track and report service and payment results, including retroactive and avoided (i.e., during periods of removal) PAP payments and the disposition of the avoided payments on a CLEC, PID sub-measure and aggregate basis each month.

3.3.3 Public Website: Qwest will maintain a public website showing the PAP status of each PID or sub-measure with respect to the applicability of the PAP payment mechanisms (i.e., reinstated or removed), which eliminates the requirement to make filings with the Commission to modify the PAP due to the application of the PID Reinstatement/Removal Process.

4.0 Statistical Measurement

4.1 Qwest uses a statistical test, namely the modified "z-test," for evaluating the difference between two means (i.e., Qwest and CLEC service or repair intervals) or two percentages (e.g., Qwest and CLEC proportions), to determine whether a parity condition exists between the results for Qwest and the CLEC(s). The modified z-tests shall be applicable if the number of data points are greater than 30 for a given measurement. For testing measurements for which the number of data points are 30 or less, Qwest will use a permutation test to determine the statistical significance of the difference between Qwest and CLEC.

4.2 Qwest shall be in conformance when the monthly performance results for parity measurements (whether in the form of means, percents, or proportions and at the equivalent level of disaggregation) are such that the calculated z-test statistics are not greater than the critical z-values as listed in Table 1, section 5.0.

4.3 Qwest shall be in conformance with benchmark measurements when the monthly performance result equals or exceeds the benchmark, if a higher value means better performance, and when the monthly performance result equals or is less than the benchmark if a lower value means better performance.

The formula for determining parity using the modified z-test is:

$$z = \text{DIFF} / \sigma_{\text{DIFF}}$$

Where:

$$\text{DIFF} = M_{\text{Qwest}} - M_{\text{CLEC}}$$

$$M_{\text{QWEST}} = \text{Qwest average or proportion}$$

$$M_{\text{CLEC}} = \text{CLEC average or proportion}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{DIFF}} = \text{square root } \square \sigma^2_{\text{Qwest}} (1/n_{\text{CLEC}} + 1/n_{\text{Qwest}})]$$

$$\sigma^2_{\text{Qwest}} = \text{calculated variance for Qwest}$$

Exhibit K

n_{Qwest} = number of observations or samples used in Qwest measurement

n_{CLEC} = number of observations or samples used in CLEC measurement

The modified z-tests will be applied to reported parity measurements that contain more than 30 data points.

In calculating the difference between Qwest and CLEC performance, the above formula applies when a larger Qwest value indicates a better level of performance. In cases where a smaller Qwest value indicates a higher level of performance, the order is reversed, i.e., $M_{CLEC} - M_{QWEST}$.

The practical application of the modified z-test and critical z-values to per occurrence payment calculations for measures with parity standards is described in more detail in sections 8 and 9. Payment calculations consider Qwest's processes and Table 1 critical z-values to determine whether Qwest's wholesale performance provided was statistically equivalent to performance Qwest provided itself. To determine this, parity values are developed. For instances where higher is better, the parity value formula is:

Parity value = ILEC mean - critical z-value * square root $\sigma^2_{Qwest} (1/n_{CLEC} + 1/n_{Qwest})$

In cases where lower values represent better performance, the minus sign is simply reversed.

4.3.1 For parity measurements where the number of data points is 30 or less, Qwest will apply a permutation test to test for statistical significance. Permutation analysis will be applied to calculate the z-statistic using the following logic:

Calculate the modified z-statistic for the actual arrangement of the data

Pool and mix the CLEC and Qwest data sets

Perform the following 1000 times:

Randomly subdivide the pooled data sets into two pools, one the same size as the original CLEC data set (n_{CLEC}) and one reflecting the remaining data points, and one reflecting the remaining data points, (which is equal to the size of the original Qwest data set or n_{QWEST}).

Compute and store the modified z-test score (Z_S) for this sample.

Count the number of times the z-statistic for a permutation of the data is greater than the actual modified z- statistic.

Compute the fraction of permutations for which the statistic for the rearranged data is greater than the statistic for the actual samples.

If the fraction is greater than α , the significance level of the test, the hypothesis of no difference is not rejected, and the test is passed. The α shall be .05 when the critical z value is 1.645 and .15 when the critical z value is 1.04.

Exhibit K

5.0 Critical Z-Value

5.1 The following table shall be used to determine the critical z-value that is referred to in section 6.0. It is based on the monthly business volume of the CLEC for the particular performance measurements for which statistic testing is being performed.

TABLE 1: CRITICAL Z-VALUE

CLEC volume (Sample size)	LIS Trunks, UDITs, Resale, UBL-DS1 and DS-3	All Other
1-10	1.04*	1.645
11-150	1.645	1.645
151-300	2.0	2.0
301-600	2.7	2.7
601-3000	3.7	3.7
3001 and above	4.3	4.3

* The 1.04 applies for individual month testing for performance measurements involving LIS trunks and DS-1 and DS-3 that are UDITs, Resale, or Unbundled Loops. The performance measurements are OP-3d/e, OP-4d/e, OP-5a, OP-6-4/5, MR-5a/b, MR-7d/e, and MR-8. For purposes of determining consecutive month misses, 1.645 shall be used. Where performance measurements disaggregate to zone 1 and zone 2, the zones shall be combined for purposes of statistical testing.

6.0 Tier 1 Payments to CLEC

6.1 Tier 1 payments to CLEC shall be made solely for the performance measurements designated as Tier 1 on Attachment 1. The payment amount for non-conforming service varies depending upon the designation of performance measurements as High, Medium, and Low and the duration of the non-conforming service condition as described below. Non-conforming service is defined in section 4.0.

6.1.1 Determination of Non-Conforming Measurements: The number of performance measurements that are determined to be non-conforming and, therefore, eligible for Tier 1 payments, are limited according to the critical z-value shown in Table 1, section 5.0. The critical z-values are the statistical standard that determines for each CLEC performance measurement whether Qwest has met parity. The critical z-value is selected from Table 1 according to the monthly CLEC volume for the performance measurement. For instance, if the CLEC sample size for that month is 100, the critical z-value is 1.645 for the statistical testing of that parity performance measurement.

6.2 Determination of the Amount of Payment: Tier 1 payments to CLEC, except as provided for in sections 6.2.3, 6.3 and 10.0, are calculated and paid monthly based on the number of performance measurements exceeding the critical z-value. Payments will be made on either a per occurrence or per measurement basis, depending upon the performance

Exhibit K

measurement, using the dollar amounts specified in Table 2 or 2A below. The dollar amounts vary depending upon whether the performance measurement is designated High, Medium, or Low and escalate depending upon the number of consecutive months for which Qwest has not met the standard for the particular measurement. Tier 1 payment escalation shall be in accordance with Table 2 or 2A below and shall not exceed the month 6 payment level.

6.2.1 The escalation of payments for consecutive months of non-conforming service will be matched month for month with de-escalation of payments for every month of conforming service. For example, if Qwest has four consecutive monthly "misses" it will make payments that escalate from month 1 to month 4 as shown in Table 2 or 2A, if applicable. If, in the next month, service meets the standard, Qwest makes no payment. A payment "indicator" de-escalates down from month 4 to month 3. If Qwest misses the following month, it will make payment at the month 3 level of Table 2 or 2A because that is where the payment "indicator" presently sits. If Qwest misses again the following month, it will make payments that escalate back to the month 4 level. The payment level will de-escalate back to the original month 1 level only upon conforming service sufficient to move the payment "indicator" back to the month 1 level.

6.2.2 For those performance measurements listed on Attachment 2 as "Performance Measurements Subject to Per Measurement Caps," excluding BI-3A, payment to a CLEC in a single month shall not exceed the amount listed in Table 2 below for the "Per Measurement Cap" category. For those performance measurements listed on Attachment 2 as "Performance Measurements Subject to Per Measurement Payments," if any should be added at a later time, payment to a CLEC will be the amount set forth in Table 2 below under the section labeled "Per Measurement Cap."

TABLE 2: TIER 1 PAYMENTS TO CLEC

Per Occurrence							
Measurement Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Each following month after Month 6 add
High	\$150	\$250	\$500	\$600	\$700	\$800	\$100
Medium	\$ 75	\$150	\$300	\$400	\$500	\$600	\$100
Low	\$ 25	\$ 50	\$100	\$200	\$300	\$400	\$100

Exhibit K

Per Measurement Cap							
Measurement Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Each following month after Month 6 add
High	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$75,000	\$100,000	\$125,000	\$150,000	\$25,000
Medium	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$30,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 60,000	\$10,000
Low	\$ 5,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 5,000

TABLE 2A: TIER 1 PAYMENTS TO CLEC – SPECIFIC PRODUCTS

Per Occurrence							
Measurement Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Each following month after Month 6 add
DS3 – UBL	\$3,000	\$3,500	\$4,000	\$4,500	\$5,000	\$5,500	\$500
DS3 – UDIT	\$1,000	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$2,300	\$2,600	\$2,900	\$300
DS1 – UBL	\$ 300	\$ 400	\$ 600	\$ 800	\$ 900	\$1,000	\$100
DS1 – EELs	\$ 300	\$ 400	\$ 600	\$ 800	\$ 900	\$1,000	\$100

6.2.3 For the BI-3A performance measurement, the dollar payment amount for non-conforming performance varies depending upon the Total Bill Adjustment Amount for the CLEC. The payment amount is calculated using Table 2B below by multiplying the per occurrence amount times the number of occurrences based on the Total Bill Adjustment Amount,¹ capped at the amount shown in the table for that Total Bill Adjustment Amount. The escalation of payments for consecutive months as stated in section 6.2.1 does not apply.

¹ Total Bill Adjustment Amount is determined by subtracting the BI-3A numerator from the BI-3A denominator as defined in the BI-3 PID formula.

Exhibit K

TABLE 2B: TIER 1 PAYMENTS TO CLECS FOR BI-3A

Total Bill Adjustment Amount	Per Occurrence Amount	Cap
\$0 - \$0.99	\$0	\$0
\$1 - \$199.99	\$1	\$200
\$200 - \$999.99	\$10	\$5,000
\$1,000 - \$9,999.99	\$10	\$10,000
\$10,000 - \$49,999.99	\$15	\$15,000
\$50,000 - \$99,999.99	\$20	\$20,000
\$100,000 and over	\$25	\$25,000

6.3 Except as specifically addressed by WAC 480-120-560, the QPAP collocation performance measures shall rely on CP-2 and CP-4 performance measurements for delineation of collocation business rules. For purposes of calculating Tier 1 payments for failure to meet collocation installation intervals, if Qwest fails to deliver the Collocation space by the required Ready for Service (RFS) date, Qwest will credit the CLEC in an amount equal to one tenth (1/10) of the total non-recurring charge for the ordered Collocation for each week beyond the required RFS data. For purposes of calculating Tier 1 payments for collocation feasibility studies that are later than the due date, a per day payment will be applied according to Table 3. The calculation of the payment amount will be performed by applying the per day payment amounts as specified in Table 3. Thus, for days 1 through 10, the payment is \$45 per day. For days 11 through 20, the payment is \$90 per day and so on.

TABLE 3: TIER-1 COLLOCATION FEASIBILITY STUDY PAYMENTS TO CLECS

Days Late	Feasibility Study
1 to 10 days	\$45/day
11 to 20 days	\$90/day
21 to 30 days	\$135/day
31 to 40 days	\$180/day
More than 40 days	\$300/day

6.4 A minimum payment calculation shall be performed by Qwest at the end of each year for each CLEC with annual order volumes of no more than 1,200. The payment shall be calculated by adding the applicable payment amount in Table 4 below for each month in which at least one payment was made to the CLEC. To the extent that the actual CLEC payment for the year is less than the product of the preceding calculation, Qwest shall make an additional payment equal to the difference.

Exhibit K

TABLE 4: MINIMUM PAYMENTS TO CLECS

Total Monthly Payment:	Minimum Payment Amount:
Less than \$200	\$ 0
Between \$200 and \$800	\$ 1,500
Between \$801 and \$1,400	\$ 2,000
Over \$1,400	\$ 2,500

7.0 Tier 2 Payments to the State

7.1 Payments to the State shall be limited to the performance measurements designated in section 7.4 for Tier 2 per measurement payments and in Attachment 1 for per occurrence payments and which have at least 10 data points each month for the period payments are being calculated. Similar to the Tier 1 structure, Tier 2 measurements are categorized as High, Medium, and Low and the amount of payments for non-conformance varies according to this categorization.

7.2 Determination of Non-Conforming Measurements: The determination of non-conformance will be based upon the aggregate of all CLEC data for each Tier 2 performance measurement. Non-conforming service is defined in section 4.2 (for parity measurements) and 4.3 (for benchmark measurements), except that a 1.645 critical z-value shall be used for all parity measurements but MR-2 and OP-2. The critical z-value is the statistical standard that determines for each performance measurement whether Qwest has met parity.

7.3 Determination of the Amount of Payment: Except as provided in section 7.4, Tier 2 payments are calculated and paid monthly based on the number of performance measurements failing performance standards for a third consecutive month, or if two out of three consecutive months in the 12 month period have been missed, the second consecutive month for Tier 2 measurements with Tier 1 counterparts and one month for Tiers 2 measurements that do not have Tier 1 counterparts. Payment will be made on either a per occurrence or per measurement basis, whichever is applicable to the performance measurement, using the dollar amounts specified in Table 5 or Table 6 below. Except as provided in section 7.4, the dollar amounts vary depending upon whether the performance measurement is designated High, Medium, or Low.

7.3.1 For those Tier 2 measurements listed on Attachment 2 as "Performance Measurements Subject to Per Measurement Caps," payment to the State in a single month shall not exceed the amount listed in Table 5 for the "Per Measurement Cap" category.

Exhibit K

TABLE 5: TIER 2 PAYMENTS TO STATE FUNDS

Per Occurrence

Measurement Group	
High	\$500
Medium	\$300
Low	\$200

Per Measurement Cap

Measurement Group	
High	\$75,000
Medium	\$30,000
Low	\$20,000

7.4 Performance Measurements Subject to Per Measurement Payment: The following Tier 2 performance measurements shall have their performance results measured on a region-wide (14 state) basis. Failure to meet the performance standard, therefore, will result in a per measurement payment in each of the Qwest in-region 14 states adopting this PAP. The performance measurements are:

- GA-1: Gateway Availability - IMA-GUI
- GA-2: Gateway Availability - IMA-EDI
- GA-3: Gateway Availability – EB-TA
- GA-4: System Availability – EXACT
- GA-6: Gateway Availability – GUI-Repair
- PO-1: Pre-Order/Order Response Times
- OP-2: Call Answered within Twenty Seconds – Interconnect Provisioning Center
- MR-2: Calls Answered within Twenty Seconds – Interconnect Repair Center

GA-1 has two sub-measurements: GA-1A and GA-1D. PO-1 shall have two sub-measurements: PO-1A and PO-1B. PO-1A and PO-1B shall have their transaction types aggregated together.

For these measurements, Qwest will make a Tier 2 payment based upon monthly performance results according to Table 6: Tier 2 Per Measurement Payments to State Funds.

TABLE 6: TIER 2 PER MEASUREMENT PAYMENTS TO STATE FUNDS

Measurement	Performance	State Payment	14 State Payment
GA-1,2,3,4,6	1% or lower	\$1,000	\$14,000
	>1% to 3%	\$10,000	\$140,000
	>3% to 5%	\$20,000	\$280,000
	>5%	\$30,000	\$420,000
PO-1	2 sec. Or less	\$1,000	\$14,000
	>2 sec. to 5 sec.	\$5,000	\$70,000
	>5 sec. to 10 sec.	\$10,000	\$140,000
	>10 sec.	\$15,000	\$210,000

Exhibit K

OP-2/MR-2	1% or lower	\$1,000	\$14,000
	>1% to 3%	\$5,000	\$70,000
	>3% to 5%	\$10,000	\$140,000
	>5%	\$15,000	\$210,000

7.5 Payment of Tier 2 Funds: Payments to a state fund shall be used for any purpose determined by the Commission that is allowed to it by state law. Qwest will must deposit any payments of Tier 2 funds for Washington State into the Public Service Revolving Fund, the account within the Washington State treasury established to fund Commission expenses.

8.0 Step by Step Calculation of Monthly Tier 1 Payments to CLEC

8.1 Application of the Critical Z-Values: Qwest shall identify the Tier 1 parity performance measurements that measure the service provided to CLEC by Qwest for the month in question and the critical z-value from Table 1 in section 5.0 that shall be used for purposes of statistical testing for each particular performance measurement. The statistical testing procedures described in section 4.0 shall be applied. For the purpose of determining the critical z-values, each disaggregated category of a performance measurement is treated as a separate sub-measurement. The critical z-value to be applied is determined by the CLEC volume at each level of disaggregation or sub-measurement.

8.2 Performance Measurements for which Tier 1 Payment is Per Occurrence:

8.2.1 Performance Measurements that are Averages or Means:

8.2.1.1 Step 1: For each performance measurement, the parity value described in section 4.3 shall be calculated. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

8.2.1.2 Step 2: The percentage differences between the CLEC averages and the parity value shall be calculated. The calculation is $\% \text{ diff} = (\text{CLEC result} - \text{Parity Value}) / \text{Parity Value}$.

8.2.1.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points shall be multiplied by the percentage calculated in the previous step and the per occurrence dollar amounts from the Tier 1 Payment Tables shall determine the payment to the CLEC for each non-conforming performance measurement.

8.2.2 Performance Measurements that are Percentages:

8.2.2.1 Step 1: For each performance measurement, the percentage determined by the parity value described in section 4.3 shall be calculated. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

Exhibit K

8.2.2.2 Step 2: The difference between the actual percentages for the CLEC and the parity value percentages shall be determined.

8.2.2.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points shall be multiplied by the difference in percentage calculated in the previous step, and the per occurrence dollar amount taken from the Tier 1 Payment Tables, to determine the payment to the CLEC for each non-conforming performance measurement.

8.2.3 Performance Measurements that are Ratios or Proportions:

8.2.3.1 Step 1: For each performance measurement the ratio determined by the parity value described in section 4.3 shall be calculated. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

8.2.3.2 Step 2: The absolute difference between the actual rate for the CLEC and the parity value rate shall be determined.

8.2.3.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points shall be multiplied by the difference calculated in the previous step, and the per occurrence dollar amount taken from the Tier 1 Payment Tables, to determine the payment to the CLEC for each non-conforming performance measurement.

8.3 Performance Measurements for which Tier 1 Payment is Per Measure:

8.3.1 For each performance measurement where Qwest fails to meet the standard, the payment to the CLEC shall be the dollar amount shown on the "per measure" portion of Table 2: Tier 1 Payments to CLEC.

9.0 Step by Step Calculation of Monthly Tier 2 Payments to State Funds

9.1 Criteria for Determination of Tier 2 Payments: To determine if Tier 2 payments for performance measurements listed on Attachment 1 shall be made in the current month, the following shall be determined:

9.1.1 For all Tier 2 measurements, it shall be determined whether Qwest missed the performance standard for three consecutive months.

9.1.2 If Qwest has not missed three consecutive months, the following evaluation will be made:

9.1.2.1 For Tier 2 measurements that have Tier 1 counterparts, it shall be determined if Qwest has missed the standard in any two out of three consecutive months for the most recent 12 month period, and if so, whether

Exhibit K

Qwest has additionally missed the performance standard for the second consecutive month in the current month.

9.1.2.2 For Tier 2 measurements that do not have Tier 1 counterparts, it shall be determined if Qwest has missed the standard in any two out of three consecutive months for the most recent 12 month period, and if so, whether Qwest has additionally missed the performance standard for the current month.

9.1.3 If any of the conditions in 9.1.1, 9.1.2.1 or 9.1.2.2 are met and there are at least 10 data points for the measurement in each month, a Tier 2 payment will be calculated and paid as described below. Each succeeding month will be evaluated on the same basis and Tier 2 payments will continue until Qwest's performance meets the applicable standard.

9.1.4 Application of the Critical Z-Values: Qwest shall identify the Tier 2 parity performance measurements that measure the service provided to all CLECs by Qwest for the month in question. The statistical testing procedures described in section 4.0 shall be applied, except that a 1.645 critical z-value shall be used for all parity measurements except MR-2 and OP-2.

9.1.5 Section 9.2 describes the step by step Tier 2 payment calculations for measurements that are per occurrence. In these steps, determining the number of occurrences is based on calculations for the applicable "non-conforming month(s)" that triggered the payment. Based on the applicable determination in section 9.1, the calculated differences and average number of data points will be determined using (1) three consecutive non-conforming months' data, (2) two consecutive non-conforming months' data or (3) the current month's data.

9.2 Performance Measurements for which Tier 2 Payment is Per Occurrence:

9.2.1 Performance Measurements that are Averages or Means:

9.2.1.1 Step 1: The monthly average or the mean for each performance measurement that would yield the critical z-value for each applicable non-conforming month shall be calculated. The same denominator as the one used in calculating the z-statistic for the measurement shall be used. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

9.2.1.2 Step 2: The percentage difference between the actual averages and the calculated averages for each applicable non-conforming month shall be calculated. The calculation for parity measurements is $\% \text{ diff} = (\text{actual average} - \text{calculated average}) / \text{calculated}$.

9.2.1.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points for each applicable non-conforming month shall be multiplied by the

Exhibit K

percentage calculated in the previous step. The average for the applicable non-conforming months (rounded to the nearest integer) shall be calculated and multiplied by the result of the per occurrence dollar amount taken from the Tier 2 Payment Table to determine the payment to the State for each non-conforming performance measurement.

9.2.2 Performance Measurements that are Percentages:

9.2.2.1 Step 1: For each performance measurement, the monthly percentage that would yield the critical z-value for each applicable non-conforming month shall be calculated. The same denominator as the one used in calculating the z-statistic for the measurement shall be used. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

9.2.2.2 Step 2: The difference between the actual percentages and the calculated percentages for each of the applicable non-conforming months shall be calculated. The calculation for parity measurement is $\text{diff} = (\text{CLEC result} - \text{calculated percentage})$. This formula shall be applicable where a high value is indicative of poor performance. The formula shall be reversed where high performance is indicative of good performance.

9.2.2.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points for each applicable non-conforming month shall be multiplied by the difference in percentage calculated in the previous step. The average for the applicable non-conforming months shall be calculated (rounded to the nearest integer) and multiplied by the result of the per occurrence dollar amounts taken from the Tier 2 Payment Table to determine the payment to the State.

9.2.3 Performance Measurements that are Ratios or Proportions:

9.2.3.1 Step 1: For each performance measurement, the ratio that would yield the critical z-value for each applicable non-conforming month shall be calculated. The same denominator as the one used in calculating the z-statistic for the measurement shall be used. (For benchmark measurements, the benchmark value shall be used.)

9.2.3.2 Step 2: The difference between the actual rate for the CLEC and the calculated rate for each applicable non-conforming month shall be calculated. The calculation is: $\text{diff} = (\text{CLEC rate} - \text{calculated rate})$. This formula shall apply where a high value is indicative of poor performance. The formula shall be reversed where high performance is indicative of good performance.

9.2.3.3 Step 3: For each performance measurement, the total number of data points shall be multiplied by the difference calculated in the previous step for each applicable non-conforming month. The average for the applicable non-conforming months shall be calculated (rounded to the nearest integer) and

Exhibit K

multiplied by the result of the per occurrence dollar amounts taken from the Tier 2 Payment Table to determine the payment to the State.

9.3 Performance Measurements for which Tier 2 Payment is Per Measure:

9.3.1 For each performance measurement where Qwest fails to meet the standard, the payment to the State Fund shall be the dollar amount shown on the "Per Measurement" portion of the Tier 2 Payment Table.

10.0 Low Volume, Developing Markets

10.1 For certain qualifying performance standards, if the aggregate monthly volumes of CLECs participating in the PAP are more than 10, but less than 100, Qwest will make Tier 1 payments to CLECs for failure to meet the parity or benchmark standard for the qualifying performance sub-measurements. The qualifying sub-measurements are the ADSL qualified loop product disaggregations of OP-3, OP-4, OP-5a, MR-3, MR-5, MR-7, and MR-8. If the aggregate monthly CLEC volume is greater than 100, the provisions of this section shall not apply to the qualifying performance sub-measurement.

10.2 The determination of whether Qwest has met the parity or benchmark standards will be made using aggregate volumes of CLECs participating in the PAP. In the event Qwest does not meet the applicable performance standards, a total payment to affected CLECs will be determined in accordance with the high, medium, low designation for each performance measurement (see Attachment 1) and as described in section 8.0, except that CLEC aggregate volumes will be used. In the event the calculated total payment amount to CLECs is less than \$5,000, a minimum payment of \$5,000 shall be made. The resulting total payment amount to CLECs will be apportioned to the affected CLECs based upon each CLEC's relative share of the number of total service misses.

10.3 At the six (6)-month reviews, Qwest will consider adding to the above list of qualifying performance sub-measurements, new products disaggregation representing new modes of CLEC entry into developing markets.

11.0 Payment

11.1 Payments to CLEC or the State, except as provided in section 11.3, shall be made one month following the due date of the performance measurement report for the month for which payment is being made. Qwest will pay interest on any late payment and underpayment at the prime rate as reported in the Wall Street Journal. Interest on any late payments and underpayments shall not be included in assessments of the annual cap described in section 12.1. On any overpayment, Qwest is allowed to offset future payments by the amount of the overpayment plus interest at the prime rate.

11.2 All payments shall be in cash. Qwest shall be allowed, after obtaining the individual agreement of CLEC, to make such cash payments through the use of electronic fund transfers

Exhibit K

to CLEC and the State. Qwest shall be able to offset cash payments to CLECs with bill credits applied against any non-disputed charges that are more than 90 days past due.

11.3 This PAP does not prohibit the Commission from directing the establishment of an identified escrow account or other fund, and or contributing a portion of Tier 2 funds to the escrow account for the purpose of funding a multi-state process to review and audit the PAP.

12.0 Cap on Tier 1 and Tier 2 Payments

12.1 There shall be a cap on the total payments made by Qwest for a 12 month period beginning with the effective date of the PAP for the State of Washington. The annual cap for the State of Washington shall be 36% of ARMIS Net Return, recalculated each year based on the prior year's Washington ARMIS results. Qwest shall submit to the Commission the calculation of each year's cap no later than 30 days after the submission of ARMIS results to the FCC. CLEC agrees that this amount constitutes a maximum annual cap that shall apply to the aggregate total of Tier 1 liquidated damages and Tier 2 assessments or payments made by Qwest. Subject to the limitations in section 13 of this Agreement, the following shall not count toward the cap: any penalties imposed by the Commission; any penalties imposed directly by this Agreement for failure to report, failure to report timely, or failure to report accurately; and any interest payments for underpayment.

12.2 If the annual cap is reached, each CLEC shall, as of the end of the year, be entitled to receive the same percentage of its total calculated Tier 1 payments. In order to preserve the operation of the annual cap, the percentage equalization shall take place as follows:

12.2.1 The amount by which any month's total year-to-date Tier 1 and Tier 2 payments exceeds the cumulative monthly cap (defined as $1/12^{\text{th}}$ of the annual cap times the cumulative number of months to date) shall be calculated and apportioned between Tier 1 and Tier 2 according to the percentage that each bore of total payments for the year-to-date. The Tier 1 apportionment resulting of this calculation shall be known as the "Tracking Account."

12.2.2 The Tier 1 apportionment shall be debited against the monthly payment due to each CLEC, by applying to the year-to-date payments received by each the percentage necessary to generate the required total Tier 1 amount.

12.2.3 The Tracking Amount shall be apportioned among all CLECs so as to provide each with payments equal in percentage of its total year to date Tier 1 payment calculations.

12.2.4 This calculation shall take place in the first month that the year-to-date total Tier 1 and Tier 2 payments are expected to exceed the cumulative monthly cap and for each month of that year thereafter. Qwest shall recover any debited amounts by reducing payments due from any CLEC for that and any succeeding months, as necessary.

Exhibit K

13.0 Limitations

13.1 The PAP shall not become available in the State unless and until Qwest receives effective section 271 authority from the FCC for that State.

13.2 Qwest will not be liable for Tier 1 payments to CLEC in an FCC approved state until the Commission has approved an interconnection agreement between CLEC and Qwest which adopts the provisions of this PAP.

13.3 The Commission will determine whether a request for waiver of payment obligations will be granted. Qwest must file any waiver request with the Commission no later than the last business day of the month after the month in which payments are being disputed. If such waiver is granted, Qwest shall not be obligated to make Tier 1 or Tier 2 payments for any measurement if and to the extent that non-conformance for that measurement was the result of any of the following: 1) with respect to performance measurements with a benchmark standard, a Force Majeure event as defined in section 5.7 of the SGAT. Qwest will provide notice of the occurrence of a Force Majeure event within 72 hours of the time Qwest learns of the event or within a reasonable time frame that Qwest should have learned of it; 2) an act or omission by a CLEC that is contrary to any of its obligations under its interconnection agreement with Qwest or under federal or state law; an act or omission by CLEC that is in bad faith. Examples of bad faith conduct include, but are not limited to: unreasonably holding service orders and/or applications, "dumping" orders or applications in unreasonably large batches, "dumping" orders or applications at or near the close of a business day, on a Friday evening or prior to a holiday, and failing to provide timely forecasts to Qwest for services or facilities when such forecasts are explicitly required by the SGAT; 3) problems associated with third-party systems or equipment, which could not have been avoided by Qwest in the exercise of reasonable diligence, *provided, however*, that this third party exclusion will not be raised in the State more than three times within a calendar year. If a Force Majeure event or other excusing event recognized in this section merely suspends Qwest's ability to timely perform an activity subject to a performance measurement that is an interval measure, the applicable time frame in which Qwest's compliance with the parity (excluding Force Majeure events) or benchmark criterion is measured will be extended on an hour-for-hour or day-for-day basis, as applicable, equal to the duration of the excusing event.

13.3.1 Qwest will not be excused from Tier 1 or Tier 2 payments for any reason except as described in Section 13.0. Qwest will have the burden of demonstrating that its non-conformance with the performance measurement was excused on one of the grounds described in this PAP. A party may petition the Commission to require Qwest to deposit dispute payments into an escrow account when the requesting party can show cause, such as commercial uncertainty.

13.3.2 Notwithstanding any other provision of this PAP, it shall not excuse performance that Qwest could reasonably have been expected to deliver assuming that it had designed, implemented, staffed, provisioned, and otherwise provided for

Exhibit K

resources reasonably required to meet foreseeable volumes and patterns of demands upon its resources by CLECs.

13.4 Qwest's agreement to implement these enforcement terms, and specifically its agreement to pay any "liquidated damages" or "assessments" hereunder, will not be considered as an admission against interest or an admission of liability in any legal, regulatory, or other proceeding relating in whole or in part to the same performance.

13.4.1 CLEC may not use: 1) the existence of this enforcement plan; or 2) Qwest's payment of Tier -1 "liquidated damages" or Tier 2 "assessments" as evidence that Qwest has discriminated in the provision of any facilities or services under Sections 251 or 252, or has violated any state or federal law or regulation. Qwest's conduct underlying its performance measures, however are not made inadmissible by its terms.

13.4.2 By accepting this performance remedy plan, CLEC agrees that Qwest's performance with respect to this remedy plan may not be used as an admission of liability or culpability for a violation of any state or federal law or regulation. (Nothing herein is intended to preclude Qwest from introducing evidence of any Tier 1 "liquidated damages" under these provisions for the purpose of offsetting the payment against any other damages or payments a CLEC might recover.) The terms of this paragraph do not apply to any proceeding before the Commission or the FCC to determine whether Qwest has met or continues to meet the requirements of section 271 of the Act.

13.5 By incorporating these liquidated damages terms into the PAP, Qwest and CLEC accepting this PAP agree that proof of damages from any non-conforming performance measurement would be difficult to ascertain and, therefore, liquidated damages are a reasonable approximation of any contractual damages that may result from a non-conforming performance measurement. Qwest and CLEC further agree that Tier 1 payments made pursuant to this PAP are not intended to be a penalty. The application of the assessments and damages provided for herein is not intended to foreclose other noncontractual legal and non-contractual regulatory claims and remedies that may be available to a CLEC.

13.6 This PAP contains a comprehensive set of performance submeasures, statistical methodologies, and payment mechanisms that are designed to function together, and only together as an integrated whole. To elect the PAP, CLEC must adopt the PAP in its entirety, in its interconnection agreement with Qwest in lieu of other alternative standards or relief, except as stated in sections 13.6.1, 13.6.2, and 13.7.

13.6.1 In electing the PAP, CLEC shall surrender any rights to remedies under state wholesale service quality rules or under any interconnection agreement designed to provide such monetary relief for the same performance issues addressed by the PAP. The PAP shall not limit either non-contractual legal or non-contractual regulatory remedies that may be available to CLEC.

Exhibit K

13.6.2 Tier 1 payments to CLECs are in the nature of liquidated damages. Before CLEC shall be able to file an action seeking contract damages that flow from an alleged failure to perform in an area specifically measured and regulated by the PAP, CLEC must first seek permission through the Dispute Resolution Process set forth in Section 5.18 of the SGAT. This permission shall be granted only if CLEC can present a reasonable theory of damages for the non-conforming performance at issue and evidence of real world economic harm that, as applied over the preceding six months, establishes that the actual payments collected for non-conforming performance in the relevant area do not redress the extent of the competitive harm. If CLEC can make this showing, it shall be permitted to proceed with this action. Any damages awarded through this action shall be offset with payments made under this PAP. If the CLEC cannot make this showing, the action shall be barred. To the extent that CLEC's contract action relates to an area of performance not addressed by the PAP, no such procedural requirement shall apply.

13.7 If for any reason CLEC agreeing to this PAP is awarded compensation for the same harm for which it received payments under the PAP, the court or other adjudicatory body hearing such claim may offset the damages resulting from such claim against payments made for the same harm. Only that relevant finder of fact, and not Qwest in its discretion, can judge what amount, if any, of PAP payments should be offset from any judgment for a CLEC in a related action.

13.8 If Qwest believes that some Tier 2 payments duplicate payments that are made to the state under other service quality rules, Qwest may make the payments to a special interest bearing escrow account and then dispute the payments before the Commission. If Qwest can show that the payments are indeed duplicative, it may retain the money (and its interest) that indeed duplicated other state payments. Otherwise the money will be paid as Tier 2 payments.

13.9 Whenever a Qwest Tier 1 payment to an individual CLEC exceeds \$3 million in a month, Qwest may commence a proceeding to demonstrate why it should not be required to pay any amount in excess of the \$3 million. Upon timely commencement of the proceeding, Qwest must pay the balance of payments owed in excess of \$3 million into escrow, to be held by a third-party pending the outcome of the proceeding. To invoke these escrow provisions, Qwest must file, not later than the due date of the Tier 1 payments, its application. Qwest will have the burden of proof to demonstrate why, under the circumstances, it would be unjust to require it to make the payments in excess of \$3 million. If Qwest reports non-conforming performance to CLEC for three consecutive months on 20% or more of the measurements reported to CLEC and has incurred no more than \$1 million in liability to CLEC, then CLEC may commence a similar proceeding. In any such proceeding CLEC will have the burden of proof to demonstrate why, under the circumstances, justice requires Qwest to make payments in excess of the amount calculated pursuant to the terms of the PAP. The disputes identified in this section shall be resolved in a manner specified in the Dispute Resolution section of the SGAT or interconnection agreement with the CLEC.

Exhibit K

14.0 Reporting

14.1 Upon receiving effective section 271 authority from the FCC for a state, Qwest will provide CLEC that has an approved interconnection agreement with Qwest, a monthly report of Qwest's performance for the measurements identified in the PAP by the last day of the month following the month for which performance results are being reported. However, Qwest shall have a grace period of five business days, so that Qwest shall not be deemed out of compliance with its reporting obligations before the expiration of the five business day grace period. Qwest will collect, analyze, and report performance data for the measurements listed on Attachment 1 in accordance with the most recent version of the PIDs. Upon CLEC's request, data files of the CLEC's raw data, or any subset thereof, will be transmitted, without charge, to CLEC in a mutually acceptable format, protocol, and transmission medium.

14.2 Qwest will also provide electronic copies of monthly reports of aggregate CLEC performance results to the Commission and to Public Counsel pursuant to the PAP by the last day of the month following the month for which performance results are being reported. However, Qwest shall have a grace period of five business days, so that Qwest shall not be deemed out of compliance with its reporting obligations before the expiration of the five business day grace period. Qwest will make the State aggregate CLEC performance results available to the public on its website. Individual CLEC reports of participating CLECs will also be available to the Commission upon request. By accepting this PAP, CLEC consents to Qwest providing CLEC's report and raw data to the State Commission. Pursuant to the terms of an order of the Commission, Qwest may provide CLEC-specific data that relates to the PAP, provided that Qwest shall first initiate any procedures necessary to protect the confidentiality and to prevent the public release of the information pending any applicable Commission procedures and further provided that Qwest provides such notice as the Commission directs to the CLEC involved, in order to allow it to prosecute such procedures to their completion. Data files of participating CLEC raw data, or any subset thereof, will be transmitted, without charge, to the Commission in a mutually acceptable format, protocol, and transmission form.

14.3 In the event Qwest does not provide CLEC and the Commission with a monthly report by the last day of the month following the month for which performance results are being reported, Qwest will pay to the State a total of \$500 for each business day for which performance reports are 6 to 10 business days past the due date; \$1,000 for each business day for which performance reports are 11 to 15 business days past the due date; and \$2,000 for each business day for which performance results are more than 15 business days past the due date. If reports are on time but are missing performance results, Qwest will pay to the State a total of one-fifth of the late report amount for each missing performance measurement, subject to a cap of the full late report amount. These amounts represent the total payments for omitting performance measurements or missing any report deadlines, rather than a payment per report. Prior to the date of a payment for late reports, Qwest may file a request for a waiver of the payment, which states the reasons for the waiver. The Commission may grant the waiver, deny the waiver, or provide any other relief that may be appropriate. Any payments made by Qwest in accordance with this section shall be excluded from assessments under the annual cap.

Exhibit K

14.4 Qwest shall retain for a three year period (measured from the monthly payment due dates) sufficient records to demonstrate fully the basis of its calculations for making payments under this PAP. In any event, Qwest shall maintain the records in a readily useable form for one year. For the remaining two years, the records may be retained in archived format. Any payment adjustments shall be subject to the interest rate provisions of section 11.1.

15.0 Integrated Audit Program/Investigations of Performance Results

15.1 Any party may request that the Commission conduct an audit of performance results or performance measures. The Commission will determine, based upon requests and upon its own investigation, which results and/or measures should be audited. The Commission may, at its discretion, conduct audits through participation in a collaborative process with other states.

15.2 The costs of auditing will be paid for from Tier 2 funds. If such funds are insufficient, the Commission may require that a portion of Tier 1 escalated payments be set aside for auditing programs.

15.3 Qwest must report to the Commission monthly any changes it makes to the automated or manual processes used to produce performance results including data collection, generation, and reporting. The reports must include sufficient detail to enable the parties to understand the scope and nature of the changes.

15.4 In the event of a dispute between Qwest and any CLEC regarding the accuracy or integrity of data collected, generated, and reported pursuant to the QPAP, Qwest and the CLEC will first consult with one another and attempt to resolve the dispute. If the issue is not resolved within 45 days, either party may request that the Commission consider the matter.

15.5 Any party may petition the Commission to request that Qwest investigate any consecutive Tier 1 miss or any second consecutive Tier 2 miss to determine the cause of the miss and to identify the action needed in order to meet the standard set forth in the performance measurements. Qwest will report the results of its investigation to the Commission, and to the extent an investigation determines that a CLEC was responsible in whole or in part for the Tier 2 misses, Qwest may petition the Commission to request that it receive credit against future Tier 2 payments in an amount equal to the Tier 2 payments that should not have been made. Qwest may also request that the relevant portion of subsequent Tier 2 payments will not be owed until any responsible CLEC problems are corrected. For the purposes of this sub-section, Tier 1 performance measurements that have not been designated as Tier 2 will be aggregated and the aggregate results will be investigated pursuant to the terms of this agreement.

16.0 Reviews

16.1 Every six (6) months, beginning six months after the effective date of Section 271 approval by the FCC for the state of Washington, Qwest, CLECs, and the Commission shall

Exhibit K

participate in a review of the performance measurements to determine whether measurements should be added, deleted, or modified; whether the applicable benchmark standards should be modified or replaced by parity standards; and whether to move a classification of a measurement to High, Medium, or Low or Tier 1 to Tier 2. . Criteria for review of performance measurements, other than for possible reclassification, shall be whether there exists an omission or failure to capture intended performance, and whether there is duplication of another measurement. The first six-month period will begin upon the FCC's approval of Qwest's 271 application for Washington. After the Commission considers changes proposed in the six-month review process, it shall determine what set of changes should be embodied in an amended SGAT that Qwest will file to effectuate these changes. Parties or the Commission may suggest more fundamental changes to the plan, but unless the suggestion is highly exigent, the suggestion shall either be declined or deferred until the biennial review.

16.1.1 If any agreements on adding, modifying, or deleting performance measurements as permitted by section 16.1 are reached between Qwest and CLECs participating in an industry Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) PID administration forum, those agreements shall be incorporated into the QPAP and modify the agreement between CLEC and Qwest at any time those agreements are submitted to the Commission, whether before or after a six-month review.

16.1.2 Nothing in this QPAP precludes the Commission from modifying the QPAP based upon its independent state law authority, subject to judicial challenge. Nothing in this QPAP constitutes a grant of authority by either party to this agreement nor does it constitute a waiver by either party to this agreement of any claim either party may have that the Commission lacks jurisdiction to make any modifications to this QPAP, including any modifications resulting from the process described in Section 16.1.

16.1.3 Notwithstanding section 16.1, any party may submit a root cause analysis to the Commission requesting removal of a PID or sub-measure from the PAP or requesting exemption of a PID or sub-measure from the application of the trigger mechanism for reinstatement or subsequent removal. In the analysis and recommendations concerning the root cause analysis, the Commission is to consider, at a minimum, whether the root cause analysis provides evidence of no harm, the same harm as covered by other PID measures, non-Qwest related causes, or other factors which directly relate to the harm or circumstances specific to the PID or sub-measure being analyzed.

16.2 Two years after the effective date of FCC 271 approval of the PAP for the state of Washington, the Commission may conduct a joint review by a independent third party to examine the continuing effectiveness of the PAP as a means of inducing compliant performance. This review shall not be used to open the PAP generally to amendment, but would serve to assist the Commission in determining existing conditions and reporting to the FCC on the continuing adequacy of the PAP to serve its intended functions.

Exhibit K

16.3 This QPAP will expire six years from its effective date. Only the submeasures identified in Attachment 3 and payments will continue beyond six years, and these submeasures and payments shall continue until the Commission orders otherwise. Five and one-half years after the QPAP's effective date, a review shall be conducted with the objective of phasing-out the QPAP entirely. This review shall focus on ensuring that phase-out of the QPAP is indeed appropriate at that time, and on identifying any submeasures in addition that should continue as part of the QPAP.

16.4 The QPAP neither denies nor grants the Commission the ability to join a multi-state effort to conduct QPAP reviews or develop a process whereby the multi-state group would have the authority to act on the Commission's behalf.

17.0 Voluntary Performance Assurance Plan

This PAP represents Qwest's voluntary offer to provide performance assurance. Nothing in the PAP or in any conclusion of non-conformance of Qwest's service performance with the standards defined in the PAP shall be construed to be, of itself, non-conformance with the Act.

18.0 Dispute Resolution

For the purpose of resolving disputes over the meaning of the provisions of the PAP and how they should be applied, the dispute resolution provisions of the SGAT, section 5.18, shall apply whether the CLEC uses the SGAT in its entirety or elects to make the PAP part of its interconnection agreements (i.e., the unique dispute resolution provisions of interconnection agreements should not apply).

Exhibit K

Attachment 1: Tier 1 and Tier 2 Performance Measurements Subject to Per Occurrence Payment

Performance Measurement		Tier 1 Payments			Tier 2 Payments		
		Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
GATEWAY							
Timely Outage Resolution	GA-7						X
PRE-ORDER/ORDERS							
Electronic Order Flow-Through	PO-2b	X					X
LSR Rejection Notice Interval	PO-3 ^a	X					
Firm Order Confirmations On Time	PO-5	X				X	
Work Completion Notification Timeliness	PO-6 ^b	X					
Billing Completion Notification Timeliness	PO-7 ^b	X					
Jeopardy Notice Interval	PO-8	X					
Timely Jeopardy Notices	PO-9	X					
Release Notifications	PO-16						X
(Expanded) – Manual Service Order Accuracy	PO-20		X				
ORDERING AND PROVISIONING							
Installation Commitments Met	OP-3 ^g			X		X	
Installation Intervals	OP-4 ^{c,g}			X		X	
New Service Quality	OP-5a ^g ,b ^{d,g}			X		X	
Delayed Days	OP-6 ^{c,g}			X		X	
Number Portability Timeliness	OP-8			X		X	
Coordinated Cuts On Time – Unbundled Loops	OP-13a			X		X	
LNP Disconnect Timeliness	OP-17			X		X	
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR							
Out of Service Cleared within 24 hours	MR-3 ^g			X			
All Troubles Cleared within 4 hours	MR-5 ^g			X			
Mean time to Restore	MR-6a ^g ,b ^g ,c ^g ,d ^f ,e ^f			X			
Repair Repeat Report Rate	MR-7 ^g			X		X	
Trouble Rate	MR-8 ^g			X		X	
LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within Specified Timeframes	MR-11			X		X	
BILLING							
Time to Provide Recorded Usage Records	BI-1	X					X
Billing Accuracy-Adjustments for Errors	BI-3	X					
Billing Completeness	BI-4	X				X	
NETWORK PERFORMANCE							
Trunk Blocking	NI-1			X			X
NXX Code Activation	NP-1			X			X

Exhibit K

- a. PO-3 is limited to PO-3a-1, PO-3b-1, and PO-3c.
- b. PO-6 is included with PO-7 as two "families:" PO-6a/PO-7a and PO-6b/PO-7b. Measurements within each family share a single payment opportunity with only the measurements with the highest payment being paid.
- c. OP-4 is included with OP-6 as five "families:" OP-4a/OP-6-1, OP-4b/OP-6-2, OP-4c/OP-6-3, OP-4d/OP-6-4, and OP-4e/OP-6-5. Measurements within each family share a single payment opportunity with only the measurement with the highest payment being paid.
- d. Section 3.1.2 applies to OP-5b only if the number of orders with trouble in OP-5a is no more than one.
- e. For purposes of the PAP, OP-6a and OP-6b will be combined and treated as one. The combined OP-6 breaks down to OP-6-1 (within MSA), OP-6-2 (outside MSA), OP-6-3 (no dispatch), OP-6-4 (zone 1), and OP-6-5 (zone 2).
- f. Applicable only to EELs – DS1 level and xDSL-I capable loops.
- g. Excludes the following product disaggregations as applicable to this PID: Resale Centrex, Resale Centrex 21, Resale DS0 (non-designed), Resale DS0 (designed), Resale DS0, E911/911 Trunks, Resale Frame Relay, Resale Basic ISDN (non-designed), Resale Basic ISDN (designed), Resale Basic ISDN, Resale Primary ISDN (non-designed), Resale Primary ISDN (designed), Resale Primary ISDN, Resale PBX (non-designed), Resale PBX (designed), Resale PBX, Sub-Loop Unbundling, UNE-P (POTS), UNE-P (Centrex), and UNE-P (Centrex 21).

Exhibit K

Attachment 2: Performance Measurements Subject to Per Measurement Caps

Billing

Time to Provide Recorded Usage Records – BI-1 (Tier 1/Tier 2)

Billing Accuracy – Adjustments for Errors – BI-3 (Tier 1)

Billing Completeness – BI-4 (Tier 1/Tier 2)

Exhibit K

Attachment 3: Performance Measurements Subject to Continuation Beyond Six-Year Review

Interconnection

Trunk Blocking

NI-1A *LIS Trunks to Qwest Tandem Offices (Percent)*
NI-1B *LIS Trunks to Qwest End Offices (Percent)*

Provisioning

For LIS Trunks:

OP-3D *Installation Commitments Met (Percent)*
OP-3E *Installation Commitments Met (Percent)*
OP-4D *Installation Interval (Average Days)*
OP-6A-4 *Delayed Days (Average Days)*
OP-6B-4 *Delayed Days (Average Days)*
OP-4E *Installation Interval (Average Days)*
OP-6A-5 *Delayed Days (Average Days)*
OP-6B-5 *Delayed Days (Average Days)*
OP-5a *New Service Quality (Percent)*

Maintenance and Repair

For LIS Trunks:

MR-5A *All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)*
MR-5B *All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)*
MR-6D *Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)*
MR-6E *Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)*
MR-7D *Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)*
MR-7E *Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)*
MR-8 *Trouble Rate (Percent)*

Switching Customers

For Unbundled Loops:

OP-13A Analog *Coordinated Cuts on Time (Percent)*
OP-13A All Other *Coordinated Cuts on Time (Percent)*
OP-7 *Coordinated Hot Cut Interval (Percent)*
OP-8B *Number Portability Timeliness (Hours:Minutes)*
OP-8C *Number Portability Timeliness (Hours:Minutes)*
NP-1A *NXX Code Activation (Percent)*
OP-17 *Timeliness of Disconnects associated with LNP
Orders (Percent)*
MR-11 *LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within Specified
Timeframes (Percent)*

Collocation

Installation Interval – Washington Rule
Feasibility Study Interval – Days Late QPAP Table 3

Exhibit K

Access to Local Loops

Pre-Order

For Unbundled Loops:

PO-5A-1(b)	IMA Electronic LSRs	<i>FOCs On Time (Percent)</i>
PO-5A-2(b)	EDI Electronic LSRs	<i>FOCs On Time (Percent)</i>
PO-5B-1(b)	IMA Electronic/Manual LSRs	<i>FOCs On Time (Percent)</i>
PO-5B-2(b)	EDI Electronic/Manual LSRs	<i>FOCs On Time (Percent)</i>
PO-5C-(b)	Fax Manual LSRs	<i>FOCs On Time (Percent)</i>
PO-9B		<i>Timely Jeopardy Notices (Percent)</i>

Provisioning

For Unbundled Analog Loops:

OP-3D	designed	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	designed	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	designed	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	designed	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	designed	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	designed	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	designed	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	designed	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a		<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Non-Loaded Loops (2-wire):

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Non-Loaded Loops (4-wire):

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

Exhibit K

For Unbundled DS1-Capable Loops:

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled ISDN-Capable Loops:

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled ADSL-Qualified Loops:

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Loops of DS3 and Higher:

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-4	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-5	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-5a	<i>New Service Quality (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Loop Conditioning:

OP-3D	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3E	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4D	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-4E	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>

Exhibit K

For Line Sharing/Line Splitting:

OP-3A	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3B	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-3C	<i>Installation Commitments Met (Percent)</i>
OP-4A	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-1	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-1	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4B	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-2	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-2	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-4C	<i>Installation Interval (Average Days)</i>
OP-6A-3	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>
OP-6B-3	<i>Delayed Days (Average Days)</i>

Maintenance and Repair

For Unbundled Analog Loops:

MR-3D	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3E	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Non-loaded Loops (2-wire):

MR-3D	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3E	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Non-loaded Loops (4-wire):

MR-5A	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-5B	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled DS1-Capable Loops:

MR-5A	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-5B	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>

Exhibit K

MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled ISDN-Capable Loops:

MR-3D	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3E	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled ADSL-Qualified Loops:

MR-3D	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3E	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Unbundled Loops of DS3 and Higher:

MR-5A	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-5B	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 4 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6D	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6E	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7D	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7E	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>

For Line Sharing/Line Splitting:

MR-3A	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3B	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-3C	<i>All Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours (Percent)</i>
MR-6A	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6B	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-6C	<i>Mean Time to Restore (Hours:Minutes)</i>
MR-7A	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7B	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-7C	<i>Repair Repeat Report Rate (Percent)</i>
MR-8	<i>Trouble Rate (Percent)</i>



Service Performance Indicator Definitions (PID)

14-State 271 PID Version 9.0

QWEST'S SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DEFINITIONS (PID)

14-State 271 PID Version 9.0

Introduction

Qwest will report performance results for the service performance indicators defined herein. Qwest will report separate performance results associated with the services it provides to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs) in aggregate (except as noted herein), to CLECs individually and, as applicable, to Qwest's retail customers in aggregate. Within these categories, performance results related to service provisioning and repair will be reported for the products listed in each definition. Reports for CLECs individually will be subject to agreements of confidentiality and/or nondisclosure.

The definitions in this version of the PID apply in the 14 states of Qwest's local service region: Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wyoming. Individual state Performance Assurance Plans may specify and apply state specific variations from the Performance Measure definitions and/or standards contained herein.

Qwest's Service Performance Indicator Definitions

Table of Contents

ELECTRONIC GATEWAY AVAILABILITY	1
GA-1 – Gateway Availability – IMA-GUI.....	1
GA-2 – Gateway Availability – IMA-EDI.....	2
GA-3 – Gateway Availability – EB-TA.....	3
GA-4 – System Availability – EXACT.....	4
GA-6 – Gateway Availability – GUI – Repair.....	5
GA-7 – Timely Outage Resolution following Software Releases.....	6
PRE-ORDER/ORDER	7
PO-1 – Pre-Order/Order Response Times.....	7
PO-2 – Electronic Flow-through.....	10
PO-3 – LSR-Rejection Notice Interval.....	12
PO-4 – LSRs Rejected.....	13
PO-5 – Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) On Time.....	14
PO-6 – Work Completion Notification Timeliness.....	17
PO-7 – Billing Completion Notification Timeliness.....	18
PO-8 – Jeopardy Notice Interval.....	20
PO-9 – Timely Jeopardy Notices.....	21
PO-15 – Number of Due Date Changes per Order.....	22
PO-16 – Timely Release Notifications.....	23
PO-19 – Stand-Alone Test Environment (SATE) Accuracy.....	25
PO-20 (Expanded) – Manual Service Order Accuracy.....	28
ORDERING AND PROVISIONING	34
OP-2 – Calls Answered within Twenty Seconds – Interconnect Provisioning Center.....	34
OP-3 – Installation Commitments Met.....	35
OP-4 – Installation Interval.....	38
OP-5 – New Service Quality.....	41
OP-6 – Delayed Days.....	46
OP-7 – Coordinated "Hot Cut" Interval – Unbundled Loop.....	49
OP-8 – Number Portability Timeliness.....	50
OP-13 – Coordinated Cuts On Time – Unbundled Loop.....	51
OP-15 – Interval for Pending Orders Delayed Past Due Date.....	53
OP-17 – Timeliness of Disconnects associated with LNP Orders.....	56
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR	58
MR-2 – Calls Answered within 20 Seconds – Interconnect Repair Center.....	58
MR-3 – Out of Service Cleared within 24 Hours.....	59
MR-4 – All Troubles Cleared within 48 hours.....	61
MR-5 – All Troubles Cleared within 4 hours.....	63
MR-6 – Mean Time to Restore.....	65
MR-7 – Repair Repeat Report Rate.....	68
MR-8 – Trouble Rate.....	71
MR-9 – Repair Appointments Met.....	74
MR-10 – Customer and Non-Qwest Related Trouble Reports.....	75
MR-11 – LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within Specified Timeframes.....	77
BILLING	79
BI-1 – Time to Provide Recorded Usage Records.....	79

Table of Contents (continued)

BI-2 – Invoices Delivered within 10 Days.....	80
BI-3 – Billing Accuracy – Adjustments for Errors	81
BI-4 – Billing Completeness.....	82
DATABASE UPDATES.....	83
DB-1 – Time to Update Databases	83
DB-2 – Accurate Database Updates.....	85
DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE.....	86
DA-1 – Speed of Answer – Directory Assistance.....	86
OPERATOR SERVICES	87
OS-1 – Speed of Answer – Operator Services	87
NETWORK PERFORMANCE	88
NI-1 – Trunk Blocking	88
NP-1 – NXX Code Activation	90
COLLOCATION	92
CP-1 – Collocation Completion Interval	92
CP-2 – Collocations Completed within Scheduled Intervals	95
CP-3 – Collocation Feasibility Study Interval	98
CP-4 – Collocation Feasibility Study Commitments Met.....	99
DEFINITION OF TERMS.....	100
GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS.....	104
APPENDIX A.....	106
<u>Feature Detail</u>	106

Electronic Gateway Availability

GA-1 – Gateway Availability – IMA-GUI

Purpose: Evaluates the quality of CLEC access to the IMA-GUI electronic gateway and one associated system, focusing on the extent they are actually available to CLECs.	
Description: GA-1A: Measures the availability of the IMA-GUI (Interconnect Mediated Access- Graphical User Interface), and reports the percentage of Scheduled Availability Time the IMA-GUI interface is available for view and/or input. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduled Up Time hours for preorder, order, and provisioning transactions are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. GA-1D: Measures the availability of the SIA system, which facilitates access for the IMA-GUI interface and the IMA-EDI interface (see GA-2), and reports the percentage of scheduled time the SIA system is available. Scheduled availability times will be no less than the same hours as listed for IMA-GUI and IMA-EDI. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time Gateway is Available to CLECs is equal to Scheduled Availability Time minus Outage Time. • Scheduled Availability Time is equal to Scheduled Up Time minus Scheduled Down Time. • Scheduled Down Time is time identified and communicated that the interface is not available due to maintenance and/or upgrade work. Notification of Scheduled Down Time for routine maintenance and/or upgrade work will be provided no less than 48 hours in advance. • An outage is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component (i.e., IMA-GUI, SIA), affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate results	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level. Results will be reported as follows: GA-1A IMA Graphical User Interface Gateway GA-1D SIA system
Formula: $\left(\frac{[\text{Number of Hours and Minutes Gateway is Available to CLECs During Reporting Period}] + [\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability Time During Reporting Period}]}{[\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability Time During Reporting Period}]} \right) \times 100$	
Exclusions: None	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: 99.25 percent
Availability: <div style="text-align: center;">Available</div>	Notes:

GA-2 – Gateway Availability – IMA-EDI

Purpose:	
Evaluates the quality of CLEC access to the IMA-EDI electronic gateway, focusing on the extent the gateway is actually available to CLECs.	
Description:	
Measures the availability of IMA-EDI (Interconnect Mediated Access - Electronic Data Interchange) interface and reports the percentage of scheduled availability time the IMA-EDI Interface is available for view and/or input. All times during which the interface is scheduled to be operating during the reporting period are measured.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduled Up Time hours for IMA-EDI based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. Time Gateway is Available to CLECs is equal to Scheduled Availability Time minus Outage Time. • Scheduled Availability Time is equal to Scheduled Up Time minus Scheduled Down Time. • Scheduled Down Time is time identified and communicated that the interface is not available due to maintenance and/or upgrade work. Notification of Scheduled Down Time for routine maintenance and/or upgrade work will be provided no less than 48 hours in advance. • An outage is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component (i.e., IMA-EDI), affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate results	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level. (See GA-1D for reporting of SIA system availability.)
Formula:	
$\left(\frac{\text{[Number of Hours and Minutes Gateway is Available to CLECs During Reporting Period]}}{\text{[Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability Time During Reporting Period]}} \right) \times 100$	
Exclusions: None	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: 99.25 percent
Availability: Available	Notes:

GA-3 – Gateway Availability – EB-TA

Purpose: Evaluates the quality of CLEC access to the EB-TA interface, focusing on the extent the gateway is actually available to CLECs.	
Description: Measures the availability of EB-TA (Electronic Bonding – Trouble Administration) interface and reports the percentage of scheduled availability time the EB-TA Interface is available. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduled Up Time hours are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. Time Gateway is Available to CLECs is equal to Scheduled Availability Time minus Outage Time. Scheduled Availability Time is equal to Scheduled Up Time minus Scheduled Down Time. Scheduled Down Time is time identified and communicated that the interface is not available due to maintenance and/or upgrade work. Notification of Scheduled Down Time for routine maintenance and/or upgrade work will be provided no less than 48 hours in advance. An outage is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component (i.e., EB-TA), affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate results	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level.
Formula: $\left(\frac{[\text{Number of Hours and Minutes Gateway is Available to CLECs During Reporting Period}] + [\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability During Reporting Period}]}{[\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability During Reporting Period}]} \right) \times 100$	
Exclusions: None	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: .99.25 percent
Availability: Available	Notes:

GA-4 – System Availability – EXACT

Purpose: Evaluates the quality of CLEC batch access to the EXACT electronic access service request system, focusing on the extent the system is actually available to CLECs.	
Description: Measures the availability of EXACT system and reports the percentage of scheduled availability time the EXACT system is available. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduled Up Time hours are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. Time System is Available to CLECs is equal to Scheduled Availability Time minus Outage Time. Scheduled Availability Time is equal to Scheduled Up Time minus Scheduled Down Time. Scheduled Down Time is time identified and communicated that the system is not available due to maintenance and/or upgrade work. Notification of Scheduled Down Time for routine maintenance and/or upgrade work will be provided no less than 48 hours in advance. An outage is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component (i.e., EXACT), affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate results	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level.
Formula: $\frac{(\text{Number of Hours and Minutes EXACT is Available to CLECs During Reporting Period})}{(\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability During Reporting Period})} \times 100$	
Exclusions: None	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: 99.25 percent
Availability: Available	Notes:

GA-6 – Gateway Availability – GUI – Repair

Purpose:	
Evaluates the quality of CLEC access to the GUI Repair electronic gateway, focusing on the extent the gateway is actually available to CLECs.	
Description:	
Measures the availability of the GUI (Graphical User Interface) repair electronic interface and reports the percentage of scheduled availability time the interface is available for view and/or input. All times during which the interface is scheduled to be operating during the reporting period are measured.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduled Up Time* hours are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. • Time Gateway is Available to CLECs is equal to Scheduled Availability Time minus Outage Time. • Scheduled Availability Time is equal to Scheduled Up Time minus Scheduled Down Time. • Scheduled Down Time is time identified and communicated that the interface is not available due to maintenance and/or upgrade work. Notification of Scheduled Down Time for routine maintenance and/or upgrade work will be provided no less than 48 hours in advance. • An outage is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component (i.e., GUI-Repair), affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate results	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level.
Formula:	
$\left[\frac{\text{Number of Hours and Minutes Gateway is Available to CLECs During Reporting Period}}{\text{Number of Hours and Minutes of Scheduled Availability Time During Reporting Period}} \right] \times 100$	
Exclusions: None	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: 99.25 percent
Availability: Available	Notes:

GA-7 – Timely Outage Resolution following Software Releases

Purpose: Measures the timeliness of resolution of gateway or system outages attributable to software releases for specified OSS interfaces, focusing on CLEC-affecting software releases involving the specified gateways or systems.	
Description: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures the percentage of gateway or system outages, which are attributable to OSS system software releases and which occur within two weeks after the implementation of the OSS system software releases, that are resolved ^{NOTE 1} within 48 hours of detection by the Qwest monitoring group or reporting by a CLEC/co-provider. Includes software releases associated with the following OSS interfaces in Qwest: IMA-GUI, IMA-EDI, and CEMR, Exchange Access, Control, & Tracking (EXACT) ^{NOTE 2}, Electronic Bonding– Trouble Administration (EB-TA) ^{NOTE 3}. An outage for this measurement is a critical or serious loss of functionality, attributable to the specified gateway or component, affecting Qwest's ability to serve its customers or data loss ^{NOTE 4} on the Qwest side of the interface. An outage is determined by Qwest technicians through the use of verifiable data, collected from the affected customer(s) and/or from mechanized event management systems. The outage resolution time interval considered in this measurement starts at the time Qwest's monitoring group detects a failure, or at the date/time of the first transaction sent to Qwest that cannot be processed (i.e. lost data), and ends with the time functionality is restored or the lost data is recovered. 	
Reporting Period: Monthly	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC Aggregate	Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level.
Formula: $\left[\frac{\text{Total outages detected within two weeks of a Software Release that are resolved within 48 hours of the time Qwest detects the outage}}{\text{Total number of outages detected within two weeks of Software Releases resolved in the Reporting Period}} \right] \times 100$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outages in releases prior to any CLEC migrating to the release. Duplicate reports attributable to the same software defect. 	
Product Reporting: None	Standards: Volume = 1-20: 1 miss Volume > 20: 95%
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> "Resolved" means that service is restored to the reporting CLEC, as experienced by the CLEC. EXACT is a Telecordia system. Only releases for changes initiated by Qwest for hardware or connectivity will be included in this measurement. Outages reported under EB-TA are the same as outages in MEDIACC. For data loss to be considered for GA-7, a functional acknowledgement must have been provided for the data in question (e.g., EDI 997, LSR ID or trouble ticket number).

Pre-Order/Order

PO-1 – Pre-Order/Order Response Times

<p>Purpose: Evaluates the timeliness of responses to specific preordering/ordering queries for CLECs through the use of Qwest's Operational Support Systems (OSS). Qwest's OSS are accessed through the specified gateway interface.</p>	
<p>Description: PO-1A & PO-1B: Measures the time interval between query and response for specified pre-order/order transactions through the electronic interface.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measurements are made using a system that simulates the transactions of requesting pre-ordering/ordering information from the underlying existing OSS. These simulated transactions are made through the operational production interfaces and existing systems in a manner that reflects, in a statistically-valid manner, the transaction response times experienced by CLEC service representatives in the reporting period. • The time interval between query and response consists of the period from the time the transaction request was "sent" to the time it is "received" via the gateway interface. • A query is an individual request for the specified type of information. <p>PO-1C:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures the percentage of all IRTM Queries measured by PO-1A & 1B transmitted in the reporting period that timeout before receiving a response. <p>PO-1D:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures the average response time for a sampling of rejected queries across preorder transaction types. The response time measured is the time between the issuance of a pre-ordering transaction and the receipt of an error message associated with a "rejected query." A rejected query is a transaction that cannot be successfully processed due to the provision of incomplete or invalid information by the sender, which results in an error message back to the sender. <small>NOTE 1</small> 	
<p>Reporting Period: One month</p>	<p>Unit of Measure: PO-1A, PO-1B, & PO-1D: Seconds PO-1C: Percent</p>

PO-1 – Pre-Order/Order Response Times (continued)

<p>Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate.</p>	<p>Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level. Results are reported as follows: PO-1A Pre-Order/Order Response Time for IMA-GUI PO-1B Pre-Order/Order Response Time for IMA-EDI Results are reported separately for each of the following transaction types: ^{NOTE 2}</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appointment Scheduling (Due Date Reservation, where appointment is required) 2. Service Availability Information 3. Facility Availability 4. Street Address Validation 5. Customer Service Records 6. Telephone Number 7. Loop Qualification Tools ^{NOTE 3} 8. Left intentionally blank to preserve numbering 9. Connecting Facility Assignment ^{NOTE 4} 10. Meet Point Inquiry ^{NOTE 5} <p>For PO-1A (transactions via IMA-GUI), in addition to reporting total response time, response times for each of the above transactions will be reported in two parts: (a) time to access the request screen, and (b) time to receive the response for the specified transaction. For PO-1A 6, Telephone Number, a third part (c) accept screen, will be reported.</p> <p>For PO-1B (transactions via IMA-EDI), request/response will be reported as a combined number.</p> <p>PO-1C Results for PO-1C will be reported according to the gateway interface used:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Percent of Preorder Transactions that Timeout IMA-GUI 2. Percent of Preorder Transactions that Timeout IMA-EDI <p>PO-1D Results for PO-1D will be reported according to the gateway interface used:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rejected Response Times for IMA-GUI 2. Rejected Response Times for IMA-EDI
<p>Formula:</p> <p>PO-1A & PO-1B = $\Sigma[(\text{Query Response Date \& Time}) - (\text{Query Submission Date \& Time})] + (\text{Number of Queries Submitted in Reporting Period})$</p> <p>PO-1C = $[(\text{Number of IRTM Queries measured by PO-1A \& 1B that Timeout before receiving response}) + (\text{Number of IRTM Queries Transmitted in Reporting Period})] \times 100$</p> <p>PO-1D = $\Sigma[(\text{Rejected Query Response Date \& Time}) - (\text{Query Submission Date \& Time})] + (\text{Number of Rejected Query Transactions Simulated by IRTM})$</p>	
<p>Exclusions:</p> <p>PO-1A & PO-1B:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rejected requests/errors, and timed out transactions <p>PO-1C:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rejected requests and errors <p>PO-1D:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timed out transactions 	

PO-1 – Pre-Order/Order Response Times (continued)

Product Reporting: None	Standards: Total Response Time:	IMA-GUI	IMA-EDI
	1. Appointment Scheduling	<10 seconds	<10 seconds
	2. Service Availability Information	<25 seconds	<25 seconds
	3. Facility Availability	<25 seconds ⁶	<25 seconds ⁶
	4. Street Address Validation	<10 seconds	<10 seconds
	5. Customer Service Records	<12.5 seconds ⁶	<12.5 seconds ⁶
	6. Telephone Number	<10 seconds	<10 seconds
	7. Loop Qualification Tools NOTE 3	≤ 20 seconds ⁷	≤ 20 seconds
	8. Left intentionally blank to preserve numbering		
	9. Connecting Facility Assignment	≤ 25 seconds	≤ 25 seconds
	10. Meet Point Inquiry	≤ 30 seconds	≤ 30 seconds
	PO-1C-1	0.5%	
	PO-1C-2	0.5%	
	PO-1D-1 & 2	Diagnostic	
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rejected query types used in PO-1D are those developed for internal Qwest diagnostic purposes. 2. As additional transactions, currently done manually, are mechanized, they will be measured and added to or included in the above list of transactions, as applicable. 3. Results based on a weighted combination of ADSL Loop Qualification and Raw Loop Data Tool. 4. Results based on Connecting Facility Assignment by Unit Query. 5. Results based on meet Point Query, POTS Splitter option for Shared loops. 6. Times reflect non-complex services, including residential, simple business, or POTS account. Does not include ADSL or accounts >25 lines. 7. Benchmark applies to response time only. Request time and Total time will also be reported. 		

PO-2 – Electronic Flow-through

<p>Purpose: Monitors the extent Qwest's processing of CLEC Local Service Requests (LSRs) is completely electronic, focusing on the degree that electronically-transmitted LSRs flow directly to the service order processor without human intervention or without manual retyping.</p>	
<p>Description: PO-2A - Measures the percentage of all electronic LSRs that flow from the specified electronic gateway interface to the Service Order Processor (SOP) without any human intervention. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all LSRs that are submitted electronically through the specified interface during the reporting period, subject to exclusions specified below. PO-2B – Measures the percentage of all flow-through-eligible LSRs ^{NOTE 1} that flow from the specified electronic gateway interface to the SOP without any human intervention. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all flow-through-eligible LSRs that are submitted electronically through the specified interface during the reporting period, subject to exclusions specified below. </p>	
<p>Reporting Period: One month</p>	<p>Unit of Measure: Percent</p>
<p>Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate, individual CLEC</p>	<p>Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level (per multi-state system serving the state). Results for PO-2A and PO-2B will be reported according to the gateway interface* used to submit the LSR: 1 LSRs received via IMA-GUI 2 LSRs received via IMA-EDI *CO also reports an aggregate of IMA-GUI and IMA-EDI results.</p>
<p>Formula: PO-2A = $\frac{\text{[(Number of Electronic LSRs that pass from the Gateway Interface to the SOP without human intervention) + (Total Number of Electronic LSRs that pass through the Gateway Interface)]}{\text{Total Number of Electronic LSRs that pass through the Gateway Interface}} \times 100$ PO-2B = $\frac{\text{[(Number of flow-through-eligible Electronic LSRs that actually pass from the Gateway Interface to the SOP without human intervention) + (Number of flow-through-eligible Electronic LSRs received through the Gateway Interface)]}{\text{Total Number of flow-through-eligible Electronic LSRs received through the Gateway Interface}} \times 100$</p>	
<p>Exclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rejected LSRs and LSRs containing CLEC-caused non-fatal errors. Non-electronic LSRs (e.g., via fax or courier). Records with invalid product codes. Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. Duplicate LSR numbers. (Exclusion to be eliminated upon implementation of IMA capability to disallow duplicate LSR #'s.) Invalid start/stop dates/times. 	

PO-2 – Electronic Flow-through (continued)

Product Reporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resale • Unbundled Loops (with or without Local Number Portability) • Local Number Portability • UNE-P (POTS) and UNE-P (Centrex 21) • Line Sharing 	Standards: <u>PO-2A:</u> Diagnostic <u>PO-2B:</u>	
	Resale:	95%
	Unbundled Loops:	85%
	LNP:	95%
	UNE-P (POTS & Centrex 21):	95%
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The list of LSR types classified as eligible for flow through is contained in the "LSRs Eligible for Flow Through" matrix. This matrix also includes availability for enhancements to flow through. Matrix will be distributed through the CMP process. 2. The standard and future disaggregated reporting of the Line Sharing product is TBD, pending resolution of TRO issues. 	
Line Sharing: Diagnostic ^{NOTE 2}		

PO-3 – LSR Rejection Notice Interval

Purpose: Monitors the timeliness with which Qwest notifies CLECs that electronic and manual LSRs were rejected.	
Description: Measures the interval between the receipt of a Local Service Request (LSR) and the rejection of the LSR for standard categories of errors/reasons. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all LSRs submitted through the specified interface that are rejected during the reporting period. Standard reasons for rejections are: missing/incomplete/mismatching/unintelligible information, duplicate request or LSR/PON (purchase order number), no separate LSR for each account telephone number affected, no valid contract, no valid end user verification, account not working in Qwest territory, service-affecting order pending, request is outside established parameters for service, and lack of CLEC response to Qwest question for clarification about the LSR. Included in the interval is time required for efforts by Qwest to work with the CLEC to avoid the necessity of rejecting the LSR. With hours: minutes reporting, hours counted are (1) business hours for manual rejects (involving human intervention) and (2) published Gateway Availability hours for auto-rejects (involving no human intervention). Business hours are defined as time during normal business hours of the Wholesale Delivery Service Centers, except for PO-3C in which hours counted are workweek clock hours. Gateway Availability hours are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: PO-3A-1, PO-3B-1 & PO-3C - Hrs: Mins. PO-3A-2 & PO-3B-2 – Mins: Secs.
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate and individual CLEC results	Disaggregation Reporting: Results for this indicator are reported according to the gateway interface used to submit the LSR: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PO-3A-1, LSRs received via IMA-GUI and rejected manually: Statewide PO-3A -2, LSRs received via IMA-GUI and auto-rejected: Region wide PO-3B-1, LSRs received via IMA-EDI and rejected manually: Statewide PO-3B -2, LSRs received via IMA-EDI and auto-rejected: Region wide PO-3C, LSRs received via facsimile: Statewide
Formula: $\Sigma [(Date\ and\ time\ of\ Rejection\ Notice\ transmittal) - (Date\ and\ time\ of\ LSR\ receipt)] + (Total\ number\ of\ LSR\ Rejection\ Notifications)$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Records with invalid product codes. Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. Duplicate LSR numbers. (Exclusion to be eliminated upon implementation of IMA capability to disallow duplicate LSR #'s.) Invalid start/stop dates/times. 	
Product Reporting: Not applicable (reported by ordering interface).	Standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PO-3A-1 and -3B-1: ≤ 12 business hours PO-3A -2 and -3B -2: ≤ 18 seconds PO-3C: ≤ 24 work week clock hours
Availability: Available	Notes:

PO-4 – LSRs Rejected

Purpose: Monitors the extent LSRs are rejected as a percentage of all LSRs to provide information to help address potential issues that might be raised by the indicator of LSR rejection notice intervals.	
Description: Measures the percentage of LSRs rejected (returned to the CLEC) for standard categories of errors/reasons. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes all LSRs submitted through the specified interface that are rejected or FOC'd during the reporting period. • Standard reasons for rejections are: missing/incomplete/mismatching/unintelligible information; duplicate request or LSR/PON (purchase order number); no separate LSR for each account telephone number affected; no valid contract; no valid end user verification; account not working in Qwest territory; service-affecting order pending; request is outside established parameters for service; and lack of CLEC response to Qwest question for clarification about the LSR. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent of LSRs
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate and individual CLEC results	Disaggregation Reporting: Results for this indicator are reported according to the gateway interface used to submit the LSR: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PO-4A-1 LSRs received via IMA-GUI and rejected manually – Region wide PO-4A -2 LSRs received via IMA-GUI and auto-rejected – Region wide PO-4B-1 LSRs received via IMA-EDI and rejected manually – Region wide PO-4B -2 LSRs received via IMA-EDI and auto-rejected – Region wide PO-4C LSRs received via facsimile – Statewide
Formula: $\left[\frac{\text{Total number of LSRs rejected via the specified method in the reporting period}}{\text{Total of all LSRs that are received via the specified interface that were rejected or FOC'd in the reporting period}} \right] \times 100$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Records with invalid product codes. • Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. • Duplicate LSR numbers. (Exclusion to be eliminated upon implementation of IMA capability to disallow duplicate LSR #'s.) • Invalid start/stop dates/times. 	
Product Reporting: Not applicable (reported by ordering interface).	Standard: Diagnostic
Availability: Available	Notes:

PO-5 – Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) On Time

<p>Purpose: Monitors the timeliness with which Qwest returns Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) to CLECs in response to LSRs/ASRs received from CLECs, focusing on the degree to which FOCs are provided within specified intervals.</p>	
<p>Description: Measures the percentage of Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) that are provided to CLECs within the intervals specified under "Standards" below for FOC notifications.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes all LSRs/ASRs that are submitted through the specified interface or in the specified manner (i.e., facsimile) that receive an FOC during the reporting period, subject to exclusions specified below. (Acknowledgments sent separately from an FOC (e.g., EDI 997 transactions are not included.) • For PO-5A, the interval measured is the period between the LSR received date/time (based on scheduled up time) and Qwest's response with a FOC notification (notification date and time). • For PO-5B, 5C, and 5D, the interval measured is the period between the <u>application date and time</u>, as defined herein, and Qwest's response with a FOC notification (notification date and time). • "Fully electronic" LSRs are those (1) that are received via IMA-GUI or IMA-EDI, (2) that involve no manual intervention, and (3) for which FOCs are provided mechanically to the CLEC. ^{NOTE 2} • "Electronic/manual" LSRs are received electronically via IMA-GUI or IMA-EDI and involve manual processing. • "Manual" LSRs are received manually (via facsimile) and processed manually. • ASRs are measured only in <u>business days</u>. • LSRs will be evaluated according to the FOC interval categories shown in the "Standards" section below, based on the number of lines/services requested on the LSR or, where multiple LSRs from the same CLEC are related, based on the combined number of lines/services requested on the related LSRs. 	
<p>Reporting Period: One month</p>	<p>Unit of Measure: Percent</p>
<p>Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate and individual CLEC results</p>	<p>Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level (per multi-state system serving the state). Results for this indicator are reported as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PO-5A:* FOCs provided for <u>fully electronic</u> LSRs received via: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – PO-5A-1 IMA-GUI – PO-5A-2 IMA-EDI • PO-5B:* FOCs provided for <u>electronic/manual</u> LSRs received via: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – PO-5B-1 IMA-GUI – PO-5B-2 IMA-EDI • PO-5C:* FOCs provided for <u>manual</u> LSRs received via Facsimile. • PO-5D: FOCs provided for ASRs requesting LIS Trunks. <p>* Each of the PO-5A, PO-5B and PO-5C measurements listed above will be further disaggregated as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – (a) FOCs provided for Resale services and UNE-P – (b) FOCs provided for Unbundled Loops and specified Unbundled Network Elements – (c) FOCs provided for LNP
<p>Formula: $PO-5A = \frac{\{(Count\ of\ LSRs\ for\ which\ the\ original\ FOC's\ "(FOC\ Notification\ Date\ \&\ Time) - (LSR\ received\ date/time\ (based\ on\ scheduled\ up\ time))"\ is\ within\ 20\ minutes\} + (Total\ Number\ of\ original\ FOC\ Notifications\ transmitted\ for\ the\ service\ category\ in\ the\ reporting\ period)\}}{(Total\ Number\ of\ original\ FOC\ Notifications\ transmitted\ for\ the\ service\ category\ in\ the\ reporting\ period)} \times 100$ $PO-5B, 5C, \ \&\ 5D = \frac{\{(Count\ of\ LSRs/ASRs\ for\ which\ the\ original\ FOC's\ "(FOC\ Notification\ Date\ \&\ Time) - (Application\ Date\ \&\ Time)"\ is\ within\ the\ intervals\ specified\ for\ the\ service\ category\ involved\} + (Total\ Number\ of\ original\ FOC\ Notifications\ transmitted\ for\ the\ service\ category\ in\ the\ reporting\ period)\}}{(Total\ Number\ of\ original\ FOC\ Notifications\ transmitted\ for\ the\ service\ category\ in\ the\ reporting\ period)} \times 100$ </p>	

PO-5 – Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) On Time (continued)

Exclusions:

- LSRs/ASRs involving individual case basis (ICB) handling based on quantities of lines, as specified in the "Standards" section below, or service/request types, deemed to be projects.
- Hours on Weekends and holidays. (Except for PO-5A which only excludes hours outside the scheduled up time).
- LSRs with CLEC-requested FOC arrangements different from standard FOC arrangements.
- Records with invalid product codes.
- Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID.
- Duplicate LSR numbers. (Exclusion to be eliminated upon implementation of IMA capability to disallow duplicate LSR #'s.)
- Invalid start/stop dates/times.

Additional PO-5D exclusion:

- Records with invalid application or confirmation dates.

Product Reporting:	Standards:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For PO-5A, -5B and -5C: (a) Resale services UNE-P (POTS) and UNE-P Centrex (b) Unbundled Loops and specified Unbundled Network Elements. (c) LNP • For PO-5D: LIS Trunks. 	• For PO-5A (all):	95% within 20 minutes ^{NOTE 2}
	• For PO-5B (all):	90% within standard FOC intervals (specified below)
	• For PO-5C (manual):	90% within standard FOC intervals specified below PLUS 24 hours ^{NOTE 3}
	• For PO-5D (LIS Trunks):	85% within eight business days
Standard FOC Intervals for PO-5B and PO-5C		
	Product Group ^{NOTE 1}	FOC Interval
	Resale Residence and Business POTS 1-39 lines ISDN-Basic 1-10 lines – Conversion As Is – Adding/Changing features – Add primary directory listing to established loop – Add call appearance Centrex Non-Design 1-19 lines with no Common Block Configuration Centrex line feature changes/adds/removals (all)	24 hours
	LNP 1-24 lines	
	Unbundled Loops 1-24 loops 2/4 Wire analog DS3 Capable	
	Sub-loop 1-24 sub-loops [included in Product Reporting group (b)]	
	Line Sharing/Line Splitting/Loop Splitting 1-24 shared loops [included in Product Reporting group (b)]	
	Unbundled Network Element-Platform (UNE-P POTS) 1 – 39 lines	

PO-5 – Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) On Time (continued)

	Resale ISDN-Basic 1-10 lines – Conversion As Specified – New Installs – Address Changes – Change to add Loop ISDN-PRI (Facility) 1-3 PBX 1-24 trunks DS0 or Voice Grade Equivalent 1-24 DS1 Facility 1-24 DS3 Facility 1-3	48 hours
	LNP 25-49 lines	
	Enhanced Extended Loops (EELs) [included in Product Reporting group (b)] DS1 1-24 circuits	
	Resale Centrex (including Centrex 21, Non-design, Centrex 21 Basic ISDN, Centrex-Plus, Centron, Centrex Primes) 1-10 lines – With Common Block Configuration required – Initial establishment of Centrex CMS services – Tie lines or NARs activity – Subsequent to initial Common Block – Station lines – Automatic Route Selection – Uniform Call Distribution – Additional numbers	
	UNE-P Centrex 1-10 lines	
	UNE-P Centrex 21 1-10 lines	
	Unbundled Loops with Facility Check ^(NOTE 2,3) 1 – 24 loops 2/4 wire Non-loaded ADSL compatible ISDN capable XDSL-I capable DS1 capable	
	Resale ISDN-PRI (Trunks) 1-12 trunks	
	For PO-5D: LIS Trunks 1-240 trunk circuits	96 hours
		8 business days
Availability: Available	Notes: 1. LSRs with quantities above the highest number specified for each product type are considered ICB. 2. Unbundled Loop with Facility Check can be processed electronically; however, because this category always carries a 72-hour FOC interval the FOC results for this product will appear in PO-5B if received electronically or PO-5C if received manually. 3. Unbundled Loop with Facility Check will not add an additional 24 hours to the 72-hour interval if the LSR is submitted manually.	

PO-6 – Work Completion Notification Timeliness

Purpose: To evaluate the timeliness of Qwest issuing electronic notification at an LSR level to CLECs that provisioning work on all service orders that comprise the CLEC LSR have been completed in the Service Order Processor and the service is available to the customer.	
Description: PO-6A & 6B: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all orders completed in the Qwest Service Order Processor that generate completion notifications in the reporting period, subject to exclusions shown below. The start time is the date/time when the last of the service orders that comprise the CLEC LSR is posted as completed in the Service Order Processor. The end time is when the electronic order completion notice is made available (IMA-GUI) ^{NOTE 1} or transmitted (IMA-EDI) to the CLEC via the ordering interface used to place the local service request. The notification is transmitted at an LSR level when all service orders that comprise the CLEC LSR are complete. With hours: minutes reporting, hours counted are during the published Gateway Availability hours. Gateway Availability hours are based on the currently published hours of availability found on the following website: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/ossHours.html. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: PO-6A - 6B: Hrs:Mins
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate and individual CLEC results.	Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PO-6A Notices transmitted via IMA-GUI PO-6B Notices transmitted via IMA-EDI
Formula: <u>For completion notifications generated from LSRs received via IMA-GUI:</u> $PO-6A = \Sigma((\text{Date and Time Completion Notification made available to CLEC}) - (\text{Date and Time the last of the service orders that comprise the CLEC LSR is completed in the Service Order Processor})) + (\text{Number of completion notifications made available in reporting period})$ <u>For completion notifications generated from LSRs received via IMA-EDI:</u> $PO-6B = \Sigma((\text{Date and Time Completion Notification transmitted to CLEC}) - (\text{Date and Time the last of the service orders that comprise the CLEC LSR is completed in the Service Order Processor.})) + (\text{Number of completion notifications transmitted in reporting period})$	
Exclusions: PO – 6A & 6B: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Records with invalid completion dates. LSRs submitted manually (e.g., via facsimile). ASRs submitted via EXACT. 	
Product Reporting: PO – 6A & 6B Aggregate reporting for all products ordered through IMA-GUI and, separately, IMA-EDI (see disaggregation reporting).	Standard: 6 hours
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The time a notice is "made available" via the IMA-GUI is the time Qwest stores a status update related to the completion notice in the IMA Status Updates database. When this occurs, the notice can be immediately viewed by the CLEC using the Status Updates window or by using the LSR Notice Inquiry function.

PO-7 – Billing Completion Notification Timeliness

<p>Purpose: To evaluate the timeliness with which electronic billing completion notifications are made available or transmitted to CLECs, focusing on the percentage of notifications that are made available or transmitted (for CLECs) or posted in the billing system (for Qwest retail) within five business days.</p>	
<p>Description: <u>PO-7A & 7B:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This measurement includes all orders posted in the CRIS billing system for which billing completion notices are made available or transmitted in the reporting period, subject to exclusions shown below. Intervals used in this measurement are from the time a service order is completed in the SOP to the time billing completion for the order is made available or transmitted to the CLEC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The time a notice is "made available" via the IMA-GUI consists of the time Qwest stores the completion notice in the IMA Status Updates database. When this occurs, the notice can be immediately viewed by the CLEC using the Status Updates window. The time a notice is "transmitted" via IMA-EDI consists of the time Qwest actually transmits the completion notice via IMA-EDI. Applicable only to those CLECs who are certified and setup to receive the notices via IMA-EDI. The start time is when the completion of the service order is posted in the Qwest SOP. The end time is when, confirming that the order has been posted in the CRIS billing system, the electronic billing completion notice is made available to the CLEC via the same ordering interface (IMA-GUI or IMA-EDI) as used to submit the LSR. Intervals counted in the numerator of these measurements are those that are five business days or less. <p><u>PO-7C:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This measurement includes all retail orders posted in the CRIS Billing system in the reporting period, subject to exclusions shown below. Intervals used in this measurement are from the time an order is completed in the SOP to the time it is posted in the CRIS billing system. The start time is when the completion of the order is posted in the SOP. The end time is when the order is posted in the CRIS billing system. Intervals counted in the numerator of this measurement are those that are five business days or less. 	
<p>Reporting Period: One month</p>	<p>Unit of Measure: Percent</p>
<p>Reporting Comparisons: PO-7A and -7B: CLEC aggregate and individual CLEC results. PO-7C: Qwest retail results.</p>	<p>Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PO-7A Notices made available via IMA-GUI PO-7B Notices transmitted via IMA-EDI PO-7C Billing system posting completions for Qwest Retail
<p>Formula: <u>For wholesale service orders Qwest generates for LSRs received via IMA:</u></p> <p>PO-7A = (Number of electronic billing completion notices in the reporting period made available within five business days of posting complete in the SOP) ÷ (Total Number of electronic billing completion notices made available during the reporting period)</p> <p>PO-7B = (Number of electronic billing completion notices in the reporting period transmitted within five business days of posting complete in the SOP) ÷ (Total Number of electronic billing completion notices transmitted during the reporting period)</p> <p><u>For service orders Qwest generates for retail customers (i.e., the retail analogue for PO-7A & -7B):</u></p> <p>PO-7C = (Total number of retail service orders posted in the CRIS billing system in the reporting period that were posted within 5 business days) ÷ (Total number of retail service orders posted in the CRIS billing system in the reporting period)</p>	

PO-7 – Billing Completion Notification Timeliness (continued)

Exclusions:

PO-7A, 7B & 7C

- Services that are not billed through CRIS, e.g. Resale Frame Relay.
- Records with invalid completion dates.

PO-7A & 7B

- LSRs submitted manually.
- ASRs submitted via EXACT.

Product Reporting:

Aggregate reporting for all products ordered through IMA-GUI and, separately, IMA-EDI (see disaggregation reporting).

Standard:

PO-7A and -7B: Parity with PO-7C

Availability:

Available

Notes:

PO-8 – Jeopardy Notice Interval

Purpose: Evaluates the timeliness of jeopardy notifications, focusing on how far in advance of original due dates jeopardy notifications are provided to CLECs (regardless of whether the due date was actually missed).	
Description: Measures the average time lapsed between the date the customer is first notified of an order jeopardy event and the original due date of the order. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all orders completed in the reporting period that received jeopardy notifications. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Average <u>Business days</u> ^{NOTE 1}
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate, individual CLEC and Qwest Retail results	Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level. (This measure is reported by jeopardy notification process as used for the categories shown under Product Reporting.)
Formula: $[\Sigma(\text{Date of the original due date of orders completed in the reporting period that received jeopardy notification} - \text{Date of the first jeopardy notification}) + \text{Total orders completed in the reporting period that received jeopardy notification}]$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jeopardies done after the original due date is past. Records involving official company services. Records with invalid due dates or <u>application dates</u>. Records with invalid completion dates. Records with invalid product codes. Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. 	
Product Reporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Non-Designed Services B Unbundled Loops (with or without Number Portability) C LIS Trunks D UNE-P (POTS) 	Standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Parity with Retail POTS B Parity with Retail POTS C Parity with Feature Group D (FGD) services D Parity with Retail POTS
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> For PO-8A and -D, Saturday is counted as a business day for all non-dispatched orders for Resale Residence, Resale Business, and UNE-P (POTS); as well as for the retail analogues specified above as standards. For dispatched orders for Resale Residence, Resale Business, and UNE-P (POTS) and for all other products reported under PO-8B and -8C, Saturday is counted as a business day when the service order is due on Saturday.

PO-9 – Timely Jeopardy Notices

Purpose: When original due dates are missed, measures the extent to which Qwest notifies customers in advance of jeopardized due dates.	
Description: Measures the percentage of late orders for which advance jeopardy notification is provided. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all inward orders (Change, New, and Transfer order types) assigned a due date by Qwest and which are completed/closed in the reporting period that missed the original due date. Change order types included in this measurement consist of all C orders representing <u>inward activity</u>. Missed due date orders with jeopardy notifications provided on or after the original due date is past will be counted in the denominator of the formula but will not be counted in the numerator. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Percent
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate, individual CLEC and Qwest Retail results	Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level. (This measure is reported by jeopardy notification process as used for the categories shown under Product Reporting.)
Formula: $\left[\frac{\text{Total missed due date orders completed in the reporting period that received jeopardy notification in advance of original due date}}{\text{Total number of missed due date orders completed in the reporting period}} \right] \times 100$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Orders missed for customer reasons. Records with invalid product codes. Records involving official company services. Records with invalid due dates or <u>application dates</u>. Records with invalid completion dates. Records with invalid product codes. Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. 	
Product Reporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Non-Designed Services B Unbundled Loops (with or without Number Portability) C LIS Trunks D UNE-P (POTS) 	Standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Parity with Retail POTS B Parity with Retail POTS C Parity with Feature Group D (FGD) Services D Parity with Retail POTS
Availability: Available	Notes:

PO-15 – Number of Due Date Changes per Order

Purpose: To evaluate the extent to which Qwest changes due dates on orders.	
Description: Measures the average number of Qwest due date changes per order. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes all inward orders (Change, New, and Transfer order types) that have been assigned a due date in the reporting period subject to the exclusions below. Change order types for additional lines consist of all "C" orders representing <u>inward activity</u>. Counts all due date changes made for Qwest reasons following assignment of the original due date. 	
Reporting Period: One month	Unit of Measure: Average Number of Due Date Changes
Reporting Comparisons: CLEC aggregate, individual CLEC, and Qwest retail results.	Disaggregation Reporting: Statewide level.
Formula: $\Sigma(\text{Count of Qwest due date changes on all orders}) + (\text{Total orders in reporting period})$	
Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Customer requested due date changes. Records involving official company services. Records with invalid due dates or <u>application dates</u>. Records with invalid product codes. Records missing data essential to the calculation of the measurement per the PID. 	
Product Reporting: None	Standard: Diagnostic
Availability: Available	Notes:

PO-16– Timely Release Notifications

Purpose:

Measures the percent of release notifications for changes to specified OSS interfaces sent by Qwest to CLECs within the intervals and scope specified within the change management plan found on Qwest's Change Management Process, (CMP) website at <http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/cmp/whatiscmp.html>.

Description:

- Measures the percent of release notices that are sent by Qwest within the intervals/timeframes prescribed by the release notification procedure on Qwest's CMP website. ^{NOTE 1}
 - Release notices measured are:
 - Draft Technical Specifications (for App to App interfaces only);
 - Final Technical Specifications (for App to App interfaces only);
 - Draft Release Notices (for IMA-GUI interfaces only);
 - Final Release Notices (for IMA-GUI interfaces only); and
 - OSS Interface Retirement Notices. ^{NOTE 2}
 - For the following OSS interfaces:
 - IMA-GUI, IMA-EDI;
 - CEMR;
 - Exchange Access, Control, & Tracking (EXACT); ^{NOTE 3}
 - Electronic Bonding - Trouble Administration (EB -TA); ^{NOTE 4}
 - IABS and CRIS Summary Bill Outputs; ^{NOTE 5}
 - Loss and Completion Records; ^{NOTE 5}
 - New OSS interfaces (for introduction notices only.) ^{NOTE 6}
 - Also included are notifications for connectivity or system function changes to Resale Product Database.
 - Includes OSS interface release notifications by Qwest relating to the following products and service categories: LIS/Interconnection, Collocation, Unbundled Network Elements (UNE), Ancillary, and Resale Products and Services.
 - Includes OSS interface release notifications by Qwest to CLECs for the following OSS functions: Pre-Ordering, Ordering, Provisioning, Repair and Maintenance, and Billing.
 - Includes Types of Changes as specified in the "Qwest Wholesale Change Management Process Document" (Section 4 – Types of Changes).
 - Includes all OSS interface release notifications pertaining to the above OSS systems, subject to the exclusions specified below.
- Release Notifications sent on or before the date required by the CMP are considered timely. A release notification "sent date" is determined by the date of the e-mail sent by Qwest that provides the Release Notification. ^{NOTE 7}
- Release Notifications sent after the date required by the (CMP) are considered untimely. Release Notifications required but not sent are considered untimely.

Reporting Period: One month

Unit of Measure: Percent

Reporting Comparisons: CLEC Aggregate

Disaggregation Reporting: Region-wide level.

Formula:

$$\left[\frac{\text{Number of required release notifications for specified OSS interface changes made within the reporting period that are sent on or before the date required by the change management plan (CMP)} + \text{Total number of required release notifications for specified OSS interface changes within reporting period}}{\text{Total number of required release notifications for specified OSS interface changes within reporting period}} \right] \times 100$$

Exclusions:

- Changes to be implemented on an expedited basis (exception to OSS notification intervals) as mutually agreed upon by CLECs and Qwest through the CMP.
- Changes where Qwest and CLECs agree, through the CMP, that notification is unnecessary.

PO-16 Timely Release Notifications (continued)

Product Reporting: None	Standards: Vol. 1-10: No more than one untimely notification Vol. > 10: 92.5% timely notifications
Availability: Available	Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Qwest Wholesale Change Management Process Document specifies the intervals for release notifications by type of notification. These intervals are documented in the change management plan. 2. The documents described in section "9.0 – Retirement of Existing OSS Interfaces" of the "Qwest Wholesale Change Management Process Document" as "Initial Retirement Notice" and "Final Retirement Notice." 3. EXACT is a Telecordia system. Only release notifications for changes initiated by Qwest for hardware or connectivity will be included in this measurement. 4. EB-TA is the same system as MEDIACC. 5. CRIS, IABS, and Loss and Completions will adhere to the notification intervals documented in section 8.1 – Changes to Existing Application to Application Interface. 6. The documents described in section "7.0 – Introduction of New OSS Interface" of the "Qwest Wholesale Change Management Process Document" as "Initial Release Announcement and Preliminary Implementation Plan" (new App to App only), "Initial Interface Technical Specification" (new App to App only), "Final Interface Technical Specifications (new App to App only), "Release Notification" (new GUI only). CMP notices for "Introduction of a New OSS" are to be included in this measurement even though the new system is not explicitly listed in the "Description" section of this PID. However, once implemented, the system will not be added to the measurement for purposes of measuring release, change and retirement notifications unless specifically incorporated as an authorized change to the PID. 7. The intervals used to determine timeliness are based on CMP guidelines.

PO-19 – Stand-Alone Test Environment (SATE) Accuracy

Purpose:

Evaluates Qwest's ability to provide accurate production-like tests to CLECs for testing new releases in the SATE and production environments and testing between releases in the SATE environment.

Description:

PO-19A

- Measures the percentage of test transactions that conform to the test scenarios published in the *IMA EDI Data Document – for the Stand Alone Test Environment (SATE)* that are successfully executed in SATE at the time a new IMA Release is deployed to SATE. In months where no release activity occurs, measures the percentage of test transactions that conform to the test scenarios published in the current IMA EDI Data Document-for the Stand Alone Test Environment (SATE) that are successfully executed in SATE during the between-releases monthly performance test.
- Includes one test transaction for each test scenario published in the *IMA EDI Data Document – for the Stand Alone Test Environment (SATE)*.
- Test transactions will be executed for each of the IMA releases supported in SATE utilizing all test scenarios for each of the current versions of the *IMA EDI Data Document – for the Stand Alone Test Environment (SATE)*.
- The successful execution of a transaction is determined by the Qwest Test Engineer according to:
 - The expected results of the test scenario as described in the *IMA EDI Data Document – for the Stand Alone Test Environment (SATE)* and the EDI disclosure document.
 - The transactions strict adherence to business rules published in Qwest's most current IMA EDI Disclosure Documentation for each release and the associated Addenda. ^{NOTE 1}
- For this measurement, Qwest will execute the test transactions in the Stand-Alone Test Environment.
 - Release related test transactions will be executed when a full or point release of IMA is installed in SATE. These transactions will be executed within five business days of the numbered release being originally installed in SATE. This five-business day period will be referred to as the "Testing Window."
 - Mid-release monthly performance test transactions will be executed in the months when no Testing Window for a release is completed. These transactions will be executed on the 15th, or the nearest working day to the 15th of the month, in the months when no release related test transactions are executed.
- Test transaction results will be reported by release and included in the Reporting Period during which the release transactions or mid-release test transactions are completed.

PO-19B

- Validates the extent that SATE mirrors production by measuring the percentage of IMA EDI test transactions that produce comparable results in SATE and in production.
 - Transactions counted as producing comparable results are those that return correctly formatted data and fields as specified in the release's EDI disclosure document and developer worksheets related to the IMA release being tested.
 - Comparability will be determined by evaluating the data and fields in each EDI message for the test transactions against the same data and fields for Preorder queries, LSRs, and Supplementals, and returned as Query Responses, Acknowledgements, Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) for flow-through eligible products, and rejects.
- Test transactions are executed one time for each new major IMA release within 7 days after the IMA release.
 - Test transactions consist of a defined suite of Product/Activity combinations. Qwest's three regions will be represented. ^{NOTE 2}
 - Pre-order, Order, and Post-order transactions (FOCs for flow-through products) are included.
- With respect to the comparability of the structure and content of results from SATE and production environments, this measurement focuses only on the validity of the structure and the validity of the content, per developer worksheets and EID mapping examples distributed as part of release notifications. ^{NOTE 3}

Reporting Period:

PO-19A – One month

PO-19B: – One month (for those months in

Unit of Measure:

Percent

PO-19 Stand-Alone Test Environment (SATE) Accuracy (continued)

<p>which release-related test transactions are completed)</p>	
<p>Reporting Comparisons: None</p>	<p>Disaggregation Reporting: PO-19A – Reported separately for each release tested in the reporting period PO-19B – None</p>
<p>Formula: PO-19A [(Total number of successfully completed SATE test transactions executed for a Software Release or between-releases performance test completed in the Reporting Period) + (Total number of SATE test transactions executed for each Software Release or between-releases performance test completed in the Reporting Period)] x 100 PO-19B [(Total number of completed IMA EDI test transactions executed in SATE and production that produce comparable results for each new major IMA Software Release completed in the Reporting Period) + (Total number of completed IMA EDI test transactions executed in SATE and production for each new major IMA Software Release completed in the Reporting Period)] x 100</p>	
<p>Exclusions: For PO-19B:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transactions that fail due to the unavailability of a content item (e.g., TN exhaustion in SATE or the production environment) or a function in the SATE or production environments (e.g., address validation query or CSR query) that is unsuccessful due to an outage in systems that interface with IMA-EDI (e.g., PREMIS or SIA). • Transactions that fail because of differences between the production and SATE results caused when an IMA candidate is implemented into IMA and not SATE (i.e., where CMP decides not to implement an IMA candidate in a SATE release: e.g., the Reject Duplicate LSR candidate in IMA 12.0). This exclusion does not apply during reporting periods in which there are no differences between production IMA and SATE caused by SATE releases packaged pursuant to CMP decisions. 	
<p>Product Reporting: None</p>	<p>Standard: PO-19A – 95% for each release tested PO-19B – 95%</p>
<p>Availability: Available</p>	<p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transactions that are executed and found to have inconsistencies with the data and format rules will be corrected and rerun. Rerun volumes will not be counted in the denominator for PO-19. Such corrections and re-executions are intended to enforce strict adherence to business rules published in Qwest's most current IMA EDI Data and Disclosure Documents. 2. The product and activity combinations that make up the test decks for PO-19B will be updated after each major IMA software release and provided to CLECs with the publication of IMA EDI Draft Interface Technical Specifications for the next major IMA software release as defined in the CMP process. All combinations with EDI transaction volumes > 100 in the previous 12-month period will be included in the test deck. 75 days prior to the execution of the test, Qwest will run a query against IMA to determine which combinations meet the criteria for inclusion (i.e., volumes > 100).