

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In re Application of)	DOCKET TC-130708
)	
NORTHWEST SMOKING &)	
CURING, INC. d/b/a SEATAC)	ORDER 04
DIRECT)	
)	
For a Certificate of Public Convenience)	ORDER DENYING PETITIONS
and Necessity to Operate Motor)	FOR RECONSIDERATION
Vehicles in Furnishing Passenger and)	
Express Service as an Auto)	
Transportation Company)	
.....)	

BACKGROUND

- 1 On May 7, 2013, Northwest Smoking & Curing, Inc. d/b/a SeaTac Direct (SeaTac Direct or Company) filed an application with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as an auto transportation company. Wickkiser International Companies, Inc. (Wickkiser) and Seatac Shuttle, LLC d/b/a Whidbey-Seatac Shuttle (Seatac Shuttle) each filed letters protesting SeaTac Direct’s application.

- 2 On November 8, 2013, the Commission entered Order 02, Initial Order Dismissing Adjudication and Referring Application to Commission Staff. Wickkiser and Seatac Shuttle each filed a petition for administrative review of Order 02, and Staff filed an answer to both petitions.

- 3 On February 19, 2014, the Commission entered Order 03, Final Order Dismissing Adjudication (Order 03). The Commission denied both petitions for administrative review. The Commission found that neither Wickkiser nor Seatac Shuttle provided the same service that SeaTac Direct proposes to offer. The Commission concluded, therefore, that there are no grounds for further adjudication regarding SeaTac Direct’s application, denied the protests or objections filed by Wickkiser and Seatac Shuttle, and dismissed the adjudication.

- 4 On February 28, 2014, Wickkiser and Seatac Shuttle each filed a petition for reconsideration of Order 03. Seatac Shuttle's petition largely is in the form of a response to Staff's answer to the petitions for administrative review that the Commission fully addressed in Order 03. Both reconsideration petitions repeat the same arguments the Commission rejected in Order 03. Wickkiser raises nothing new. Seatac Shuttle's only new claims are criticisms of what Seatac Shuttle sees as Staff's and the Commission's inconsistency, failure to timely process SeaTac Direct's application, and refusal to analyze and resolve the issues in this docket in the manner that Seatac Shuttle believes is correct.

DISCUSSION

- 5 We deny the petitions. Order 03 properly resolves the disputed issues in this docket. In so doing, that order addressed the arguments Wickkiser and Seatac Shuttle raised in response to the dispositive motion, repeated in their petitions for administrative review, and make yet again in their petitions for reconsideration. Further discussion of these same arguments would be fruitless, and we see no benefit in responding to Seatac Shuttle's unfounded accusations of Staff and Commission impropriety.

ORDER

- 6 THE COMMISSION ORDERS that the Petitions of Wickkiser International Companies, Inc., and Seatac Shuttle, LLC, for Reconsideration of Order 03 are DENIED.

Dated at Olympia, Washington, and effective March 4, 2014.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DAVID W. DANNER, Chairman

PHILIP B. JONES, Commissioner

JEFFREY D. GOLTZ, Commissioner