BEFORE THE WAHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

McLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATONS SERVICES, INC., Petitioner,)	Docket No. UT- 063013
v.		
QWEST CORPORATION,)	
Respondent.)	

RESPONSE TESTIMONY

OF

CURTIS ASHTON

ON BEHALF OF

QWEST COMMUNICATIONS

June 14, 2006

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION
3		WITH QWEST CORPORATION.
4	A.	My name is Curtis Ashton. I am employed by Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") as a
5		senior staff technical support power maintenance engineer in the technical support
6		group, local network organization. My business address is 700 W. Mineral,
7		Littleton, Colorado, 80120.
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE REVIEW YOUR WORK EXPERIENCE AND PRESENT
10		RESPONSIBILITIES.
11	A.	I hold a Bachelor of Science in electrical engineering, summa cum laude from
12		Arizona State University. I have been responsible for managing
13		telecommunications power for Qwest and its predecessors since 1992. All of the
14		positions I've held with Qwest Communications (formerly U S West
15		Communications), including my current position, have dealt with power
16		management. In my current position, I am the subject matter expert ("SME") for
17		all powering and grounding issues for Qwest's Local Network organization in the
18		Power Engineering department. I have worked with power issues as they relate to
19		collocation since the original FCC collocation order in 1992. In addition, I have
20		presented papers at multiple conferences and have been published in conference
21		proceedings and trade magazines. Among the presentations are two on
22		collocation powering. I am also a vice-chair of several sub-committees of the
23		institute of electrical and electronics engineers (IEEE) stationary battery standards
24		coordinating committee (SCC) 29. In the past I served a term on the general
25		IEEE standards review committee (revcom).

IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS

I.

2	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
3	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide a response to the testimony filed by
4		Sidney L. Morrison and Michael Starkey on behalf of McLeodUSA
5		Telecommunications Services, Inc. ("McLeod") as it relates to the claim that
6		Qwest should be charging the "Power Plant" rate element based on periodic usage
7		measurements.
8		
9		III. RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS BY MCLEOD
10	Q.	BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE ISSUE RAISED BY MCLEOD.
11	A.	The actual issue raised by McLeod is a narrow question of contract interpretation.
12		Qwest and McLeod entered into a Power Measuring Amendment to their
13		interconnection agreement ("ICA") in order to revise the method that Qwest uses
14		to charge McLeod for power usage. McLeod claims, incorrectly that Qwest
15		should be charging the "Power Plant" rate element based on periodic usage
16		measurements as well. That is not what the DC Power Measuring Amendment
17		says. While I am not a lawyer, the DC Power Measuring Amendment's plain
18		language provides for the charges for only one rate element to vary based on
19		measured usage: the "-48 Volt Usage Charge [that] applies on a per amp basis to
20		all orders of greater than sixty (60) amps." The DC Power Measuring
21		Amendment does not affect the charges for "Power Plant", and does not identify
22		those charges as ones which will be reduced based on measured consumption.
23		
24		Moreover, the rate for the Power Plant element was established by the
25		Commission in a cost docket – that rate element is, to my understanding, not
26		directly at issue in this case. If McLeod wanted to challenge the methodology by

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

II.

1		which that rate was developed, it should have participated in that cost setting
2		proceeding.
3		
4	Q.	IN THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BOTH MR. MORRISON AND MR.
5		STARKEY DO THEY PORTRAY AN ACCURATE PICTURE OF THIS
6		PROCEEDING?
7	A.	No. Both of these gentlemen have glossed over the real issue and have provided
8		quite a bit of testimony that clouds the real reason that we are before this
9		Commission. The real reason that we are here is to discuss the language in the
10		Power Measuring Amendment. Mr. Morrison and Mr. Starkey seem to want to
1		focus on their view of how Qwest should or does actually incur cost with respect
12		to DC power plant. Setting aside the errors Mr. Morrison and Mr. Starkey make
13		with regard to Qwest's power plant planning and the costs Qwest incurs, this
14		"actual cost" methodology is both irrelevant to the contract dispute, and
15		inconsistent with TELRIC methodology. This Commission has already ruled that
16		Qwest may charge for the power plant based on a forward looking, least cost
17		TELRIC methodology, based on the number of amps the CLEC specified in its
18		order for power distribution. Furthermore, as described in the testimony of Mr.
19		Easton, nothing in the DC Power Measuring Amendment changes the pricing
20		structure for the Power Plant rate element.
21		
22	Q.	IF THAT IS THE CASE, WHAT TOPICS WILL YOU ADDRESS IN
23		YOUR TESTIMONY?
24	A.	I will address some of the incorrect statements by Mr. Morrison and Mr. Starkey
25		in regard to how Qwest designs and engineers power so that the record in this

case be clear on those issues, even though Qwest does not believe that the engineering issues are the appropriate focus of this contract dispute case.

A.

Q. HOW DO QWEST ENGINEERS DESIGN A POWER PLANT WITHIN A QWEST CENTRAL OFFICE?

Qwest Engineers take the total requirement of power needs into consideration when designing the power plant for a central office. What I mean by this is that the engineer factors in not only the power requirements of Qwest equipment, but also collocators (CLECs) within that central office. For example, when a CLEC provides Qwest with an order for power feed (sometimes referred to as power distribution or power cables), Qwest assumes that the order is based on List 2 Drain – the current the equipment will draw under the most power demanding conditions, such as initial power-up after a power failure. Mr. Morrison believes that Qwest designs a Central Office based on List 1 drain – the current the equipment will draw when operating normally at maximum capacity – and that is correct for Qwest equipment. However, the reality of designing for CLEC needs is that Qwest does not know, and cannot reasonably forecast, the draw that CLEC equipment will take, so Qwest uses the ordered amount to size the power plant capacity made available to CLECs.

Mr. Morrison recognizes this reality. In his direct testimony at lines 242 – 251, he explains how two identical pieces of equipment, serving the same number of customers, could have very different power requirements. I am not a lawyer, and do not understand all of the legal obligations Qwest has to treat CLECs like McLeod in a nondiscriminatory manner – but from an engineering perspective,

Qwest plans its DC power plant capacity so that if a CLEC orders a certain amount of power capacity in its power feeds, that amount of power capacity is made available to them in the power plant. My experience working with various CLECs tells me many CLECs expect Qwest to provide power plant capacity at that level.

Q. DOESN'T MCLEOD TELL QWEST WHAT ITS ANTICIPATED USAGE WILL BE WHEN IT PLACES AN ORDER?

A. No, McLeod does not. Indeed, based on Mr. Morrison's testimony, McLeod is likely unable to do so. And, since McLeod cannot forecast its own usage, Qwest, who has less information about McLeod's business plans, certainly cannot do so either. Under those circumstances, the only reasonable amperage to include in power plant planning for CLECs is the ordered amount, as that is the amount that the CLEC has said, via its order that it might at some point need.

Q. UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES WOULD THE CLEC NEED OR USE THE ORDERED AMOUNT OF POWER?

A. A good example of a situation in which the ordered amount of power could be required would be if Qwest had a complete power failure within a central office, and the batteries fully discharged. During power outages, the power to the telecommunication equipment is supplied by batteries. For a time, a diesel engine would be supplying additional backup power for the batteries. If the engine cannot be refueled, the batteries would become the sole source of power. Once the power backup plant is running solely off battery power, the batteries begin to discharge. Once the batteries are no longer sufficient to power the equipment, the

Docket No. UT-063013 Response Testimony of Curtis Ashton Exhibit CA-1RT June 14, 2006 Page 6

equipment would shut down. After power is restored, CLEC and Qwest 1 equipment would draw significantly more power than a List 1 drain situation, 2 approaching or reaching List 2 drain, as the equipment is restarted. This is 3 sometimes referred to as a "List 2 Event." Qwest designs the power plant so that 4 in such an event, CLEC and toll equipment within the central office will have the 5 List 2 drain available to them, ahead of even Qwest's own switch.¹ 6 7 A central office power plant is sized on the total requirement of every piece of 9 equipment that has a power drain. Indeed, under the List 2 drain situation described above, each and every piece of McLeod's equipment in the central 10 office would have List 2 drain power capacity available to it. 11 12 MCLEOD HAS ASSERTED THAT QWEST'S DESCRIPTION OF A LIST Q. 13 14 2 EVENT SHOW THAT SUCH AN EVENT IS RARE AND UNLIKELY [MORRISON TESTIMONY PAGE 42, LINES 973 – 975]. DOES THIS 15 MEAN THAT QWEST SHOULD NOT PLAN FOR A LIST 2 DRAIN IN 16 17 **ENGINEERING ITS POWER PLANT?** No. While rare, List 2 events do occur, and it is proper for Qwest to plan for such 18 A. 19 an event in designing and engineering its power plant, particularly when dealing with the obligations Qwest has to deliver power plant capacity at the amounts 20 CLECs indicate in their orders for power feeds. 21 22

The engineering characteristics of Qwest's switches require that they be restored in stages after a battery discharge event described above. Thus, the List 2 draw for these switches is not experienced at one time – but not as a result of the availability of power plant capacity or the switches' need for power.

23

Q.

WHAT POWER PLANT CAPACITY HAS MCLEOD ORDERED FROM

1		QWEST?
2	A.	Confidential Exhibit CA-1 shows the initial power orders that McLeod submitted
3		in Washington. Qwest has taken these requests and combined the McLeod and
4		other CLEC power orders along with the equipment demand that Qwest has and
5		sizes the power plant to accommodate all power requirements.
6		
7	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE THE ACTUAL POWER USAGE THAT MCLEOD
8		HAS TODAY AND IS BEING BILLED FOR?
9	A.	Yes. That information is also shown on Confidential Exhibit CA-1. That Exhibit
10		shows the two most recent usage measurements for each central office in which
11		McLeod is collocated. These measurements are taken at approximate six month
12		intervals.
13		
14	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CORRELATION BETWEEN ORDERED
15		AMOUNTS AND THE ACTUAL USAGE?
16	A.	Actually there is no correlation, and that is a critical point. The ordered amount
17		of power capacity Qwest makes available to CLECs bears no relationship to the
18		amount of power usage, thus supporting Qwest's contention that the only prudent
19		course of action at the time the order is placed is to engineer power plant in
20		accordance with the ordered amounts of power capacity. As noted above, this is
21		also the amount of power plant capacity that Qwest makes available for McLeod's
22		use.
23		
24	Q.	MR. MORRISON, ON PAGE 24 LINES 511 – 520 STATES THAT A

COLLOCATOR ORDERS THE POWER THAT IT ULTIMATELY WILL

NEED BUT NOT THE AMOUNT IT WILL NEED IMMEDIATELY.

PLEASE COMMENT ON THIS REMARK.

A. This may be true for some collocators like McLeod, but not necessarily all collocators. Regardless, for purposes of Qwest's engineering practices, it is irrelevant. This is because Qwest has no idea of any particular CLEC's business plan – for example, whether that CLEC has ordered power capacity based on its ultimate need or a shorter planning horizon, or when the CLEC expects to have fully carded bays and customers. Qwest fulfills the power requirements that McLeod provides to Qwest in its order. If McLeod submits an order under the interconnection agreement for 180 amps of power, then Qwest will reasonably use and rely upon that order to design the power plant and make certain that the ordered amount of power is available to McLeod.

Q. MR. MORRISON TALKS ABOUT "AS ORDERED" VS "AS CONSUMED" POWER IN ITS COMPLAINT. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO?

A. The "as ordered" is the total requirement that McLeod has asked Qwest to be able to provide and Qwest has sized its power plant to accommodate that ordered amount. This power plant is billed at a constant according to the amount of amps specified in McLeod's initial order for power distribution. As Mr. Morrison

specified in McLeod's initial order for power distribution. As Mr. Morrison describes it, the "as consumed" rate is the measured rate for actual power that traverses the power cables that feed the McLeod collocation site. This is a

separately billed rate.

1	Q.	MICLEUD TALKS ABOUT WANTING TO PAY FOR POWER PLANT ON
2		AN "AS CONSUMED" OR "MEASURED" BASIS. IS POWER PLANT
3		"CONSUMED" IN THE SAME WAY THAT POWER ITSELF IS
4		CONSUMED?
5	A.	No, of course not. First, it is important to observe that power plant is not
6		"consumed." Power plant consists of several durable pieces of equipment that
7		last for years. As Mr. Morrison states, power plant capacity is shared among the
8		several users of power in a central office, but power plant capacity is not
9		consumed. A better way to describe power plant capacity is in terms of
10		availability, rather than consumption. For any particular power user, the question
11		is whether there is sufficient capacity in the power plant available to convert and
12		deliver the electric current its telecommunications equipment will eventually
13		consume. That is a completely different question than how much electric current
14		the telecommunications equipment will consume.
15		
16		Secondly, power plant is a fixed investment, and the costs of that plant do not
17		vary with usage. The amount of power that McLeod may consume at the point in
18		time that any particular power measurement is taken may not bear any
19		relationship to the amount of power plant capacity that McLeod has ordered or
20		that Qwest makes available to McLeod. Third, while electric power usage (in
21		Amps or Watts) is measured (and charged accordingly under the DC Power
22		Measuring Amendment), the "measurement" of DC power plant capacity does not
23		change until there are additions of primary components (e.g., batteries, rectifiers,
24		etc.) that make additional power plant capacity available to power users. In other
25		words, Power Plant is not amenable to "measurement".

1	Q.	MR. MORRISON CLAIMS ON PAGES 27 & 28 LINES 594 TO 605 THAT
2		A POWER PLANT IS SIZED ON AN "AS CONSUMED" BASIS. IS MR.
3		MORRISON CORRECT IN HIS UNDERSTANDING?
4	A.	No. The reality is that power plant is sized based on the amount of power that
5		Qwest, McLeod and other CLECs forecast/order. When McLeod placed the
6		orders for power shown on Confidential CA-1, in the 1999-2000 timeframe, there
7		was no McLeod usage to take into account, nor could McLeod forecast any usage
8		Thus, power plants to meet the CLEC orders must be based on the ordered
9		amount.
10		
11	Q.	MCLEOD HAS CLAIMED THAT QWEST'S ENGINEERING OF POWER
12		PLANT BASED ON THE CLECS' POWER ORDERS VIOLATES
13		QWEST'S OWN TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS AND ENGINEERING
14		GUIDELINES. CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND?
15	A.	As McLeod has admitted in discovery, no Qwest technical publication or
16		engineering guideline specifically addresses engineering or planning power plant
17		capacity in response to CLEC orders, usage, or demand. There are several legal
18		and regulatory reasons Qwest makes power plant capacity available to CLECs
19		based on their power orders that supplement and modify the engineering
20		requirements for Qwest's own equipment, and though I am not a lawyer, I have
21		some basic understanding of some of these obligations. For example, I
22		understand that in Washington, the Commission approved a rate for DC Power
23		Plant, to be charged based on the number of amps in a CLEC's power feed order.
24		Qwest interprets the ordered rate amount and rate design to require Qwest to
25		make the ordered amount of amps in power plant capacity available to CLECs as

needed. Qwest plans its power plant capacity accordingly. Another reason Qwest must be proactive in planning power plant capacity are the limited timeframes

Qwest has to respond to collocation orders under applicable law.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

1

2

3

Q. MR. MORRISON INTIMATES ON PAGES 39 & 40, LINES 903 TO 916, THAT THE 90 DAYS QWEST HAS (BY LAW) TO PROVISION A COLLOCATION IS MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TIME TO GROW A POWER PLANT. IS THIS TRUE?

No. Although in some cases, it may be enough time, Qwest must pre-plan power plant growth many months to years ahead of time in order to meet our legal obligation to have capacity available to the CLECs upon turnup of their collocation presence. As I've explained elsewhere in this testimony, since Qwest does not know when the CLEC will require its full requested amount of power drain, that full amount must be available as of day 90 after their collocation order is placed. Qwest has held this point of view since even before McLeodUSA placed its collocation orders in the 1999-2000 timeframe. For example, in 1998, at the International Telecommunications Energy Conference (Intelec '98) of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Power Electronics Society (PELS), I presented a paper on Collocation issues (see attached Exhibit CA_2.pdf). In this presentation (which has been provided to McLeod in this proceeding in response to a Discovery Request), on slide 9, I described typical engineering, installation, and acceptance intervals to add various primary backup power components. Many of these components take much longer than 90 days from beginning of engineering order to test and acceptance. In addition, it is economically unwise for Qwest to constantly be opening new power plant jobs

1		every 3-6 months for growth. A more prudent engineering planning interval
2		would be 18-36 months, and this is what Qwest has been attempting to do since at
3		least 1998.
4		
5	Q.	ON PAGE 28 MR. MORRISON TALKS ABOUT LIST 1 AND LIST 2
6		DRAINS. ARE HIS ASSUMPTIONS CORRECT?
7	A.	Most of his assumptions are correct. However, Mr. Morrison asserts that List 1
8		drain corresponds with the "as consumed" capacity. This is incorrect. In general,
9		actual consumption will fall below List 1 drain, sometimes far below that level.
10		Mr. Morrison acknowledged this earlier in his testimony, at pages 19, lines 399 –
11		402, where he states that List 1 drain is the amperage when the equipment is
12		operating normally at maximum capacity. Since the equipment will only rarely
13		operate at maximum capacity, any suggestion that charging for power plant on a
14		measured, or "as consumed" basis would be equivalent to charging for List 1
15		drain is clearly incorrect.
16		
17	Q.	MR. MORRISON, AT PAGES 39-40 LINES 886-921 STATES THAT
18		QWEST DOES NOT NEED TO ENGINEER TO THE AS-ORDERED
19		LEVEL BECAUSE MCLEOD PROVIDES QWEST WITH A GREAT
20		DEAL OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLLOCATED EQUIPMENT
21		AND THE POWER DRAWS SO THAT QWEST SHOULD BE WELL
22		AWARE OF MCLEOD'S POWER USAGE. COULD YOU PLEASE
23		COMMENT ON THAT?
24	A.	Mr. Morrison's testimony suggests that McLeod provides a great deal of
25		information to Qwest. However, a careful reading shows that McLeod does not.

Items (1) - (5) at lines 895 - 898 are really no more than a description of the equipment that McLeod will collocate. In Qwest's experience with McLeod, some of this equipment is equipment that Qwest is not familiar with. Additionally, the testimony is more significant in what it does not list – it does not state that McLeod will provide a forecast of usage or growth. Nor does McLeod either provide Qwest with the List 1 drain of its equipment or claim that any particular power capacity level is all they require to be available. Rather, Mr. Morrison apparently expects Qwest to unilaterally calculate or project such a number, when McLeod itself cannot do so. Indeed, earlier in this same testimony (page 10), Mr. Morrison made a point of explaining how two otherwise identical pieces of equipment could have very different power needs. Furthermore, any review of Confidential CA-1 shows that the ordered amounts and the consumed amounts do not have any discernable correlation. Q. ON PAGE 43 LINES 984 TO 1013, MR. MORRISON STATES THAT IN IOWA, QWEST CLAIMED THAT IF MCLEOD ORDERED 175 AMPS OF CAPACITY, QWEST WOULD DEFINITELY AUGMENT ITS DC POWER PLANT CAPACITY. WOULD YOU PLEASE COMMENT ON THIS STATEMENT? A. Yes. It is my understanding that what the Qwest witness, Mr. Hubbard, meant by that statement is that the larger the order, the closer or more likely Qwest would be to augment its power plant. However, the more important point here is that any CLEC order for power entitles Qwest to charge its Commission-approved TELRIC rates. My understanding of these rates is that they do not necessarily relate to Qwest's real world experience, and that Qwest is not required to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		demonstrate that it actually constructed any power plant in response to an order
2		for it to be entitled to charge those rates.
3		
4	Q.	ON PAGES 44 TO 46 LINES 998 TO 1063 MR. MORRISON DISCUSSES
5		DECOMMISSIONING OF COLLOCATION SITES AND WHETHER
6		QWEST REMOVES POWER PLANT EQUIPMENT. WILL YOU
7		COMMENT ON THIS TESTIMONY?
8	A.	Yes. Once again Mr. Morrison is confused on this issue. Mr. Morrison is correct,
9		as reflected in Qwest data response, (McLeod data request #5), that Qwest does
10		not remove or reduce its Power Plant Capacity based on decommissioned
11		collocations. McLeod's orders for power were in the 1999-2000 time frame when
12		collocation was going strong and Qwest had a lot of requests for power. Since
13		that time, Qwest has experienced a reduction in the number of operating
14		collocators, thus, a reduction in the amount of drain on an existing power plant.
15		However, these events that occurred after McLeod placed its power orders do not
16		impact in any way the amount of power that McLeod has ordered, Qwest's
17		obligation to have sufficient capacity to meet that order at the time of that order,
18		or McLeod's obligation to pay for that ordered amount.
19		
20	Q.	IS THERE AWAY THAT MCLEOD CAN REDUCE THEIR POWER
21		PLANT CHARGES?
22	A.	Yes. McLeod has the ability to restructure their power requirement as addressed
23		by Mr. Bill Easton through the Power Reduction offering and the Power
24		Reduction with Reservation product offered by Qwest. McLeod has the option to
25		reduce their power requirement through a change to their original order; however,

McLeod has not taken advantage of that option. McLeod seems to want to have the originally ordered amount of power still available to them but to reduce their Power Plant charges so that they pay for much less capacity than is available to them. McLeod's desire to only pay for what they use is in fact accomplished through the Power Measuring Amendment, which reduces the Power Usage charge to the measured amount. In fact, in Discovery in this proceeding, McLeod admitted that its own Collocation policy is similar to what the Qwest Power Reduction product offers. McLeod assumed a theoretical 20 Amp CLEC usage, and stated that they would fuse it at 30 Amps, charge the DC plant cost at 20 Amps, but size the cables at approximately 60 Amps. Qwest's power planning process works the same way. If the original McLeod order were for 60 Amps but the usage at 20 Amps, Qwest would fuse it at 80 Amps, charge the power plant rate at 60 Amps (in keeping with the commission-ordered rates), and the usage rate at 20 Amps. If McLeod then requested a power reduction to 20 Amps, Qwest would then re-fuse McLeod at approximately 30 Amps, and charge for both usage and power plant at 20 Amps. It doesn't seem credible to me that McLeod claims they would do this for their own collocators, but at the same time claim that Qwest's power reduction options are unsuitable.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. MR. MORRISON, ON PAGES 46 TO 50, DISCUSSES TYPICAL
MCLEOD EQUIPMENT AND THE POWER DRAIN ASSOCIATED
WITH THAT EQUIPMENT. DOES QWEST HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF
THE TABLE IN FIGURE 6 AND MCLEOD'S ESTIMATED DC POWER
DRAW?

1	A.	This confidential chart must be internal to McLeod, because it has not been
2		provided to Qwest except in connection with this litigation. As stated by Mr.
3		Morrison, line 1081, the "DC power amperage is based on actual power readings
4		made by McLeodUSA." Because this information was not provided to Qwest
5		during the timeframe when McLeod ordered power from Qwest (primarily the
6		1999-2001 timeframe), Qwest could not use it or rely on it to engineer its power
7		plant facilities. When McLeod first ordered power from Qwest, McLeod did not
8		even have equipment in their collocation sites to take readings on. Therefore
9		Qwest had to assume that McLeod was ordering power based on their assumption
10		that McLeod was going to serve a lot of customers and have a high degree of
11		utilization of their equipment. This has not proven to be a correct assumption, but
12		as discussed, McLeod has options available to order a lesser amount of power
13		plant capacity. But, McLeod has not taken advantage of these offerings.
14		
15		Perhaps more importantly, however, it appears as though McLeod's orders for
16		100 or more amps per central office would be significantly oversized if Figure 6
17		actually represents a typical McLeod collocation design, as indicated by Mr.
18		Morrison.
19		
20	Q.	ON PAGES 53 AND 54 MR. MORRISON DISCUSSES THE ISSUE OF
21		STRANDED INVESTMENT, AND CLAIMS THAT AN ILEC WOULD
22		NOT INVEST IN ITS DC POWER PLANT BASED ON MCLEOD OR ANY
23		OTHER CLEC'S ORDER. IS THIS CORRECT?

1	A.	No it is not. Qwest has an obligation and a requirement to build or invest in
2		infrastructure to make available the required or ordered amount of power that
3		McLeod and every other CLEC has ordered
4		
5	Q.	MCLEOD MAKES CERTAIN CLAIMS AND ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT
6		THE COST STUDY ON THE BASIS OF THE FACT THAT THE COST
7		STUDY ASSUMES 1200 AMPS OF RECTIFIER CAPACITY FOR A 1000
8		AMP CAPACITY PLANT. CAN YOU PLEASE COMMENT?
9	A.	Yes. Mr. Starkey is wrong when he claims that that Qwest's cost study assumes
10		1000 amps of usage on a 1200 amp capacity plant. Ms. Million describes how
11		Qwest's cost study modeled the power plant capacity costs on a "per amp" basis
12		and how the study makes no assumption about usage. Mr. Starkey's claim is
13		based on his failure to understand the engineering inputs for a 1000 amp capacity
14		plant. However, in the Utah hearings, McLeod's own witness, Mr. Morrison,
15		affirmed that the engineering standard requires n+1 rectifier, as well as a 20%
16		recharge capacity. Thus, for a 1000 amp capacity plant, according to McLeod's
17		testimony, Qwest should calculate costs to include six or even seven 200 amp
18		rectifiers. The use of 1200 amps of rectifiers is necessary for a 1000 amp capacity
19		power plant, and does not mean that Qwest has used a "fill factor" or has
20		otherwise assumed any particular loading or usage on that plant.
21		
22	Q.	ARE THE OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE POWER PLANT IN THE
23		COST STUDY, SUCH AS BATTERIES, SIZED FOR A 1200 AMP
24		CAPACITY PLANT?

Docket No. UT-063013 Response Testimony of Curtis Ashton Exhibit CA-1RT June 14, 2006 Page 18

A. No, they are not. The batteries modeled in the study are the appropriate size for a power plant with 1000 amps of capacity, not 1200. A 1200 amp capacity plant would require more batteries, as well as additional rectifiers to meet the engineering standards discussed above.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

Power plants are sized and built according to Qwest and CLEC demand. In other words, every element that is placed in a central office that draws power is taken into account and the power plant is sized for the peak demand. If McLeod ordered 100 amps, then Qwest will make sure McLeod has 100 amps of power plant capacity available to it. Once built, the power plant is not necessarily resized simply because demand decreases – Qwest does not reduce the ultimate capacity for McLeod just because they are not using the full 100 amps. On a usage basis, Qwest is only charging McLeod for measured usage at its collocation sites. Because McLeod ordered 100 amps of capacity, Qwest must still maintain the ability to provide McLeod with the 100 amps it ordered if necessary, and the "Power Plant" rate element is accordingly not prorated.

18

19

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

20 A. Yes it does.