

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE  
FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES**

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TG-170724  
PENALTY AMOUNT: \$100

Waste Control, Inc.  
1150 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue  
Longview, WA 98632

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that Waste Control, Inc. (Waste Control) has committed violations of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-70-201 Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which requires solid waste hauling carriers to comply with Title 49 CFR, Part 393 – Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation.

RCW 81.04.405 allows penalties of one hundred dollars for each violation of Title 49 CFR Part 393. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

In May 2017, Commission Motor Carrier Investigator Francine Gagne conducted a compliance review of Waste Control and documented the following violation:

- **One violation of Title 49 CFR Part 393.25(f) – Stop Lamp Violations.** The stop lamps on unit #24 were inoperative due to a blown fuse.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalty for this violation:

1. **How serious or harmful the violation is to the public.** The violation noted is serious and potentially harmful to the public. Companies that operate vehicles with defective equipment put the traveling public at risk. A vehicle without working brake lights presents serious safety concerns.
2. **Whether the violation is intentional.** Considerations include:
  - Whether the company ignored Commission staff's previous technical assistance; and
  - Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the company knew of and failed to correct the violation.

Waste Control received solid waste hauling authority in 2002, has received seven compliance review investigations and has never been penalized for this violation. Staff believes the company is familiar with the requirement to have operating brake lights on all of its vehicles.

2017 JUL 27 AM 5:51  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
UTIL. AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
RECEIVED  
REGULATORY MANAGEMENT

3. **Whether the company self-reported the violation.** The company did not self-report these violations.
4. **Whether the company was cooperative and responsive.** Waste Control was cooperative and responsive throughout the investigation
5. **Whether the company promptly corrected the violations and remedied the impacts.** The company corrected the violation immediately.
6. **The number of violations.** The number of violations is insignificant.
7. **The number of customers affected.** The company traveled 194,641 miles in 2016. A significant number of customers, as well as the traveling public, were potentially affected by this safety violation.
8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Commission does not know if it is likely to repeat the violation, but the company was cooperative and receptive of staff's assistance, and corrected the violation immediately.
9. **The company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties.** This is the company's first safety penalty and eighth compliance review since receiving its certificate in 2002.
10. **The company's existing compliance program.** Waste Control has no formal compliance program.
11. **The size of the company.** Waste Control operates seven commercial vehicles and has eight drivers. The company reported \$4,259,223 in gross revenue and 194,641 miles traveled in 2016.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation.<sup>1</sup> The Commission generally will assess penalties per type of violation, rather than per occurrence, for other first-time violations of critical regulations that do not meet the criteria for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any repeat violations of critical regulations found in future compliance investigations, including for each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize Waste Control \$100 for violation of WAC 480-70-201 Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which adopts Title 49 CFR Parts 383 calculated as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

- One violation of Title 49 CFR Part 392.25(f) – Stop light violations. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for this violation.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe any or all of the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violation(s) and contest the penalty assessment through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact concerning the violation(s) require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any contest of the penalty assessment must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that contest. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the contest.

If there is a reason for any or all of the violations that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of this penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request for mitigation must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation(s) or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

**You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice** to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violations.
- Request mitigation to contest the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and send it to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Post Office Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250, **within FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this notice.

**If you do not act within 15 days**, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective July 11, 2017.

*/s/ Gregory J. Kopta*  
GREGORY J. KOPTA  
Administrative Law Judge

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
PENALTY ASSESSMENT TG-170724

**PLEASE NOTE:** You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed.

I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

1. **Payment of penalty.** I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$ \_\_\_\_\_ in payment of the penalty.

2. **Contest the violation.** I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reasons I describe below (if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):

a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision

OR  b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

3. **Application for mitigation.** I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below (if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):

a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision

OR  b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing, including information I have presented on any attachments, is true and correct.

Dated: 7/25/17 [month/day/year], at Bellevue, WA [city, state]

Waste Control Inc  
Name of Respondent (company) – please print

Rick E. Ensign  
Signature of Applicant

cc: Odine H. Husemoen  
Attorney at Law

RCW 9A.72.020:

“Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor’s mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.”



- RESIDENTIAL CARTS
- COMMERCIAL CONTAINERS
- INDUSTRIAL DROP BOXES
- RECYCLING FACILITY

EFFICIENT PUNCTUAL SERVICE

Reason for contested hearing.

There was no violation. Our normal daily post trip inspection would have corrected the issue timely. Waste Control has a complete safety program and has a retired Washington State Trooper who regularly trains drivers.

OFFICE:  
1150 3rd Avenue  
Longview, WA 98632

BILLING:  
P.O. Box 148  
Kelso, WA 98626