



STATE OF WASHINGTON

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250  
(360) 664-1160 • TTY (360) 586-8203

April 18, 2013

Steven V. King, Acting Executive Director and Secretary  
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission  
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW  
P. O. Box 47250  
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

RE: *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Onstar Limousine, LLC*  
Commission Staff's Response to Application for Mitigation of Penalties  
Docket TE-130475

Dear Mr. King:

On April 8, 2013, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (commission) issued Penalty Assessment TE-130475 against Onstar Limousine, LLC (Onstar Limousine) in the amount of \$1,000 for 10 violations of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-30-221, Vehicle and driver safety requirements, which requires passenger transportation companies to comply with Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), including Part 395 – Hours of service of drivers, and Part 396 – Inspection, repair and maintenance.

On April 17, 2013, Onstar Limousine filed with the commission its request for mitigation (Mitigation Request). Onstar Limousine admitted the violations but asked that the penalty amount be reduced.

The commission's rules governing safety requirements for passenger transportation are clear and unambiguous in seeking to ensure the health and safety of the traveling public through specific limitations and requirements.

During compliance reviews, commission staff conducts an in-depth examination of a motor carrier's compliance with regulations that the FMCSA has identified as "acute" or "critical." Onstar Limousine's violations of Parts 395.8(a), 396.11(a) and 396.17(a) are considered critical

violations.<sup>1</sup> Critical violations are generally indicative of breakdowns in a carrier's management controls. Patterns of non-compliance with critical regulations are quantitatively linked to inadequate safety management controls and usually higher than average accident rates.<sup>2</sup>

As the commission stated in the penalty assessment, ensuring that drivers are in the best physical condition possible, including documenting that drivers have not worked longer than the legal number of hours in a given time period, is key to preventing accidents. Failing to require drivers to make records of duty status as required prevents the company from keeping track of total on-duty hours for those drivers.

Onstar has received ample technical assistance about safety regulations since the commission granted the company charter and excursion carrier authority in 2010. The violations relating to driver vehicle inspection reports are repeat violations from the 2011 compliance review. At that time, when asked to respond with a plan for correcting the violations related to driver vehicle inspection reports, Mr. Tekle wrote, "Before starting out the driver must be satisfied that the motor vehicle is in safe operating condition. If the last driver vehicle inspection report (DVIR) notes any defects, the driver must review and sign to acknowledge that necessary repairs have been completed."<sup>3</sup> The company knows that these requirements exist.

Staff recommends the Mitigation Request be denied. The commission already mitigated the penalty when it assessed only \$1,000 in penalties instead of the \$2,800 it could have assessed for the 28 violations found in the compliance review. Commission staff does not object to the commission establishing a payment plan for Onstar Limousine to repay the penalty amount.

If you have any questions, please contact Betty Young, Compliance Investigator, Transportation Safety, at 360-664-1202, or by e-mail at [byoung@utc.wa.gov](mailto:byoung@utc.wa.gov).

Sincerely,



David Pratt  
Assistant Director, Transportation Safety

Enclosures

---

<sup>1</sup> Code of Federal Regulations, [Appendix B to Part 385—Explanation of safety rating process](#), enclosed.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> November 2011 letter from Mr. Tekle, enclosed.



*Regulations current to Apr 12, 2013*

**Pt. 385, App. B**

**Appendix B to Part 385—Explanation of Safety Rating Process**

(a) Section 215 of the Motor Carrier Safety Act of 1984 (49 U.S.C. 31144) directed the Secretary of Transportation to establish a procedure to determine the safety fitness of owners and operators of commercial motor vehicles operating in interstate or foreign commerce. The Secretary, in turn, delegated this responsibility to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA).

(b) As directed, FMCSA promulgated a safety fitness regulation, entitled "Safety Fitness Procedures," which established a procedure to determine the safety fitness of motor carriers through the assignment of safety ratings and established a "safety fitness standard" which a motor carrier must meet to obtain a satisfactory safety rating.

(c) Critical regulations are those identified as such where noncompliance relates to management and/or operational controls. These are indicative of breakdowns in a carrier's management controls. An example of a critical regulation is §395.3(a)(1), requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 11 hours.

(d) The safety rating process developed by FMCSA is used to:

1. Evaluate safety fitness and assign one of three safety ratings (satisfactory, conditional, or unsatisfactory) to motor carriers operating in interstate commerce. This process conforms to 49 CFR 385.5, Safety fitness standard, and §385.7, Factors to be considered in determining a safety rating.
2. Identify motor carriers needing improvement in their compliance with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and applicable Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMRs). These are carriers rated *Unsatisfactory* or *Conditional*.

(e) The hazardous materials safety permit requirements of [part 385, subpart E](#) apply to intrastate motor carriers. Intrastate motor carriers that are subject to the hazardous materials safety permit requirements in subpart E will be rated using equivalent State requirements whenever the FMCSRs are referenced in this appendix.

(f) The safety rating will be determined by applying the SFRM equally to all of a company's motor carrier operations in commerce, including its applicable operations in Canada and/or Mexico.

**I. Source of Data for Rating Methodology**

(a) The FMCSA's rating process is built upon the operational tool known as the CR. This tool was developed to assist Federal and State safety specialists in gathering pertinent motor carrier compliance and accident information.

(b) The CR is an in-depth examination of a motor carrier's operations and is used (1) to rate unrated motor carriers, (2) to conduct a follow-up investigation on motor carriers rated *unsatisfactory* or *conditional* as a result of a previous review, (3) to investigate complaints, or (4) in response to a request by a motor carrier to reevaluate its safety rating. Documents such as those contained in driver qualification files, records of duty status, vehicle maintenance records, and other records are thoroughly examined for compliance with the FMCSRs and HMRs. Violations are cited on the CR document. Performance-based information, when available, is utilized to evaluate the carrier's compliance with the vehicle regulations. Recordable accident information is also collected.

**II. Converting CR Information Into a Safety Rating**

(a) The FMCSA gathers information through an in-depth examination of the motor carrier's compliance with identified "acute" or "critical" regulations of the FMCSRs and HMRs.

(b) Acute regulations are those identified as such where noncompliance is so severe as to require immediate corrective actions by a motor carrier regardless of the overall safety posture of the motor carrier. An example of an acute regulation is [§383.37\(b\)](#), allowing, requiring, permitting, or authorizing an employee with more than one Commercial Driver's License (CDL) to operate a commercial motor vehicle. Noncompliance with [§ 383.37 \(b\)](#) is usually discovered when the motor carrier's driver qualification file reflects that the motor carrier had knowledge of a driver with more than one CDL, and still permitted the driver to operate a commercial motor vehicle. If the motor carrier did not have such knowledge or could not reasonably be expected to have such knowledge, then a violation would not be cited.

(c) Critical regulations are those identified as such where noncompliance relates to management and/or operational controls. These are indicative of breakdowns in a carrier's management controls. An example of a critical regulation is [§ 395.3\(a\)\(1\)](#), requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 11 hours.

(d) The list of the acute and critical regulations which are used in determining safety ratings is included at the end of this document.

(e) Noncompliance with acute regulations and patterns of non-compliance with critical regulations are quantitatively linked to inadequate safety management controls and usually higher than average accident rates. The FMCSA has used noncompliance with acute regulations and patterns of noncompliance with critical regulations since 1989 to determine motor carriers' adherence to the Safety fitness standard in [§385.5](#).

(f) The regulatory factors, evaluated on the basis of the adequacy of the carrier's safety management controls, are: (1) [Parts 172 and 173](#); (2) [Parts 387 and 390](#); (3) [Parts 382, 383, and 391](#); (4) [Parts 392 and 395](#); (5) [Parts 393 and 396](#) when there are less than three vehicle inspections in the last 12 months to evaluate; and (6) [Parts 397, 171, 177 and 180](#).

(g) For each instance of noncompliance with an acute regulation or each pattern of noncompliance with a critical regulation during the CR, one point will be assessed. A pattern is more than one violation. When a number of documents are reviewed, the number of violations required to meet a pattern is equal to at least 10 percent of those examined.

(h) However, each pattern of noncompliance with a critical regulation relative to [Part 395](#), Hours of Service of Drivers, will be assessed two points.

**A. Vehicle Factor**

(a) When a total of *three or more inspections are recorded in the Motor Carrier Management Information System (MCMIS) during the twelve months prior to the CR or performed at the time of the review*, the Vehicle Factor ([Parts 393 and 396](#)) will be evaluated on the basis of the Out-of-Service (OOS) rates and noncompliance with acute regulations and/or a pattern of noncompliance with critical regulations. The results of the review of the OOS rate will affect the Vehicle Factor rating as follows:

1. If a motor carrier has three or more roadside vehicle inspections in the twelve months prior to the carrier review, or three vehicles inspected at the time of the review, or a combination of the two totaling three or more, and the vehicle OOS rate is 34 percent or greater, the initial factor rating will be *conditional*. The requirements of [Part 396](#), Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance, will be examined during each review. The results of the examination could lower the factor rating to *unsatisfactory* if noncompliance with an acute regulation or a pattern of noncompliance with a critical regulation is

discovered. If the examination of the Part 396 requirements reveals no such problems with the systems the motor carrier is required to maintain for compliance, the Vehicle Factor remains *conditional*.

2. If a carrier's vehicle OOS rate is less than 34 percent, the initial factor rating will be *satisfactory*. If noncompliance with an acute regulation or a pattern of noncompliance with a critical regulation is discovered during the examination of Part 396 requirements, the factor rating will be lowered to *conditional*. If the examination of Part 396 requirements discovers no such problems with the systems the motor carrier is required to maintain for compliance, the Vehicle Factor remains *satisfactory*.

(b) Nearly two million vehicle inspections occur on the roadside each year. This vehicle inspection information is retained in the MCMIS and is integral to evaluating motor carriers' ability to successfully maintain their vehicles, thus preventing them from being placed OOS during roadside inspections. Since many of the roadside inspections are targeted to visibly defective vehicles and since there are a limited number of inspections for many motor carriers, the use of that data is limited. Each CR will continue to have the requirements of Part 396, Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance, reviewed as indicated by the above explanation.

**B. Accident Factor**

(a) In addition to the five regulatory rating factors, a sixth factor is included in the process to address the accident history of the motor carrier. This factor is the recordable accident rate for the past 12 months. A recordable accident, consistent with the definition for "accident" in 49 CFR 390.5, means an occurrence involving a commercial motor vehicle on a highway in motor carrier operations in commerce or within Canada or Mexico (if the motor carrier also operates in the United States) that results in a fatality; in bodily injury to a person who, as a result of the injury, immediately receives medical treatment away from the scene of the accident; or in one or more motor vehicles incurring disabling damage that requires the motor vehicle to be transported away from the scene by a tow truck or other motor vehicle.

(b) Recordable accidents per million miles were computed for each CR performed in Fiscal Years 1994, 1995 and 1996. The national average for all carriers rated was 0.747, and .839 for carriers operating entirely within the 100 air mile radius.

(c) Experience has shown that urban carriers, those motor carriers operating primarily within a radius of less than 100 air miles (normally in urban areas) have a higher exposure to accident situations because of their environment and normally have higher accident rates.

(d) The recordable accident rate will be used to rate Factor 6, Accident. It will be used only when a motor carrier incurs two or more recordable accidents occurred within the 12 months prior to the CR. An urban carrier (a carrier operating entirely within a radius of 100 air miles) with a recordable accident rate greater than 1.7 will receive an *unsatisfactory* rating for the accident factor. All other carriers with a recordable accident rate greater than 1.5 will receive an *unsatisfactory* factor rating. The rates are a result of roughly doubling the national average accident rate for each type of carrier rated in Fiscal Years 1994, 1995 and 1996.

(e) The FMCSA will continue to consider preventability when a motor carrier contests a rating by presenting compelling evidence that the recordable rate is not a fair means of evaluating its accident factor. Preventability will be determined according to the following standard: "If a driver, who exercises normal judgment and foresight could have foreseen the possibility of the accident that in fact occurred, and avoided it by taking steps within his/her control which would not have risked causing another kind of mishap, the accident was preventable."

**C. Factor Ratings**

(a) Parts of the FMCSRs and the HMRs having similar characteristics are combined together into five regulatory areas called "factors."

(b) The following table shows the five regulatory factors, parts of the FMCSRs and HMRs associated with each factor, and the accident factor. Factor Ratings are determined as follows:

**Factors**

- Factor 1 General*=Parts 387 and 390
- Factor 2 Driver*=Parts 382, 383 and 391
- Factor 3 Operational*=Parts 392 and 395
- Factor 4 Vehicle*=Parts 393 and 396
- Factor 5 Haz. Mat.*=Parts 397, 171, 177 and 180
- Factor 6 Accident Factor*=Recordable Rate
- "Satisfactory"—if the acute and/or critical=0 points
- "Conditional"—if the acute and/or critical=1 point
- "Unsatisfactory"—if the acute and/or critical=2 or more points

**III. Safety Rating**

**A. Rating Table**

(a) The ratings for the six factors are then entered into a rating table which establishes the motor carrier's safety rating.

(b) The FMCSA has developed a computerized rating formula for assessing the information obtained from the CR document and is using that formula in assigning a safety rating.

**Motor Carrier Safety Rating Table**

Factor ratings		Overall Safety rating
Unsatisfactory	Conditional	
0	2 or fewer	Satisfactory
0	more than 2	Conditional
1	2 or fewer	Conditional
1	more than 2	Unsatisfactory
2 or more	0 or more	Unsatisfactory

**B. Proposed Safety Rating**

(a) The proposed safety rating will appear on the CR. The following appropriate information will appear after the last entry on the CR, MCS-151, part B.

"Your proposed safety rating is SATISFACTORY."

OR

"Your proposed safety rating is CONDITIONAL." The proposed safety rating will become the final safety rating 45 days after you receive this notice.

OR

"Your proposed safety rating is UNSATISFACTORY." The proposed safety rating will become the final safety rating 45 days after you receive this notice

(b) Proposed safety ratings of *conditional* or *unsatisfactory* will list the deficiencies discovered during the CR for which corrective actions must be taken

(c) Proposed *unsatisfactory* safety ratings will indicate that, if the *unsatisfactory* rating becomes final, the motor carrier will be subject to the provisor of §385.13, which prohibits motor carriers rated *unsatisfactory* from transporting hazardous materials requiring placarding or more than 15 passengers including the driver.

#### IV. Assignment of Final Rating/Motor Carrier Notification

When the official rating is determined in Washington, D.C., the FMCSA notifies the motor carrier in writing of its safety rating as prescribed in §385.11. A proposed *conditional* safety rating (which is an improvement of an existing *unsatisfactory* rating) becomes effective as soon as the official safety rating from Washington, D.C. is issued, and the carrier may also avail itself of relief under the §385.15, Administrative Review and §385.17, Change to safety rating based on corrective actions.

#### V. Motor Carrier Rights to a Change in the Safety Rating

Under §§ 385.15 and 385.17, motor carriers have the right to petition for a review of their ratings *if there are factual or procedural disputes*, and to request another review after corrective actions have been taken. They are the procedural avenues a motor carrier which believes its safety rating to be in error may exercise, and the means to request another review after corrective action has been taken.

#### VI. Conclusion

(a) The FMCSA believes this "safety fitness rating methodology" is a reasonable approach for assigning a safety rating which best describes the current safety fitness posture of a motor carrier as required by the safety fitness regulations (§385.9). This methodology has the capability to incorporate regulatory changes as they occur.

(b) Improved compliance with the regulations leads to an improved rating, which in turn increases safety. This increased safety is our regulatory goal.

#### VII. List of Acute and Critical Regulations.

- § 382.115(a) Failing to implement an alcohol and/or controlled substances testing program (domestic motor carrier) (acute).
- §382.115(b) Failing to implement an alcohol and/or controlled substances testing program (foreign motor carrier) (acute).
- §382.201 Using a driver known to have an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or greater (acute).
- §382.211 Using a driver who has refused to submit to an alcohol or controlled substances test required under part 382 (acute).
- §382.213(b) Using a driver known to have used a controlled substance (acute).
- §382.215 Using a driver known to have tested positive for a controlled substance (acute).
- §382.301(a) Using a driver before the motor carrier has received a negative pre-employment controlled substance test result (critical).
- §382.303(a) Failing to conduct post accident testing on driver for alcohol (critical).
- §382.303(b) Failing to conduct post accident testing on driver for controlled substances (critical).
- §382.305 Failing to implement a random controlled substances and/or an alcohol testing program (acute).
- §382.305(b)(1) Failing to conduct random alcohol testing at an annual rate of not less than the applicable annual rate of the average number of driver positions (critical).
- §382.305(b)(2) Failing to conduct random controlled substances testing at an annual rate of not less than the applicable annual rate of the average number of driver positions (critical).
- § 382.309(a) Using a driver who has not undergone a return-to-duty alcohol test with a result indicating an alcohol concentration of less than 0.02 (acute).
- § 382.309(b) Using a driver who has not undergone a return-to-duty controlled substances test with a result indicating a verified negative result for controlled substances (acute).
- §382.503 Allowing a driver to perform safety sensitive function, after engaging in conduct prohibited by subpart B, without being evaluated by substance abuse professional, as required by §382.605 (critical).
- §382.505(a) Using a driver within 24 hours after being found to have an alcohol concentration of 0.02 or greater but less than 0.04 (acute).
- § 382.605(c)(1) Using a driver who has not undergone a return-to-duty alcohol test with a result indicating an alcohol concentration of less than .02 or with verified negative test result, after engaging in conduct prohibited by part 382 subpart B (acute).
- § 382.605(c)(2)(ii) Failing to subject a driver who has been identified as needing assistance to at least six unannounced follow-up alcohol and/or controlled substance tests in the first 12 months following the driver's return to duty (critical).
- §383.23(a) Operating a commercial motor vehicle without a valid commercial driver's license (critical).
- §383.37(a) Knowingly allowing, requiring, permitting, or authorizing an employee who does not have a current CLP or CDL, who does not have a CLP or CDL with the proper class or endorsements, or who operates a CMV in violation of any restriction on the CLP or CDL to operate a CMV (acute).
- §383.37(b) Knowingly allowing, requiring, permitting, or authorizing an employee with a commercial driver's license which is suspended, revoked, or canceled by a state or who is disqualified to operate a commercial motor vehicle (acute).
- § 383.37(c) Knowingly allowing, requiring, permitting, or authorizing an employee with more than one commercial driver's license to operate a commercial motor vehicle (acute).
- §383.51(a) Knowingly allowing, requiring, permitting, or authorizing a driver to drive who is disqualified to drive a commercial motor vehicle (acute).
- §387.7(a) Operating a motor vehicle without having in effect the required minimum levels of financial responsibility coverage (acute).
- §387.7(d) Failing to maintain at principal place of business required proof of financial responsibility (critical).
- §387.31(a) Operating a passenger carrying vehicle without having in effect the required minimum levels of financial responsibility (acute).
- §387.31(d) Failing to maintain at principal place of business required proof of financial responsibility for passenger carrying vehicles (critical).
- §390.15(b)(2) Failing to maintain copies of all accident reports required by State or other governmental entities or insurers (critical).
- §390.35 Making, or causing to make fraudulent or intentionally false statements or records and/or reproducing fraudulent records (acute).
- §391.11(b)(4) Using a physically unqualified driver (acute).

- §391.15(a) Using a disqualified driver (acute).
- §391.45(a) Using a driver not medically examined and certified (critical).
- §391.45(b)(1) Using a driver not medically examined and certified during the preceding 24 months (critical).
- §391.51(a) Failing to maintain driver qualification file on each driver employed (critical).
- §391.51(b)(2) Failing to maintain inquiries into driver's driving record in driver's qualification file (critical).
- §391.51(b)(7) Failing to maintain medical examiner's certificate in driver's qualification file (critical).
- §392.2 Operating a motor vehicle not in accordance with the laws, ordinances, and regulations of the jurisdiction in which it is being operated (critical).
- §392.4(b) Requiring or permitting a driver to drive while under the influence of, or in possession of, a narcotic drug, amphetamine, or any other substance capable of rendering the driver incapable of safely operating a motor vehicle (acute).
- §392.5(b)(1) Requiring or permitting a driver to drive a motor vehicle while under the influence of, or in possession of, an intoxicating beverage (acute).
- §392.5(b)(2) Requiring or permitting a driver who shows evidence of having consumed an intoxicating beverage within 4 hours to operate a motor vehicle (acute).
- §392.6 Scheduling a run which would necessitate the vehicle being operated at speeds in excess of those prescribed (critical).
- §392.9(a)(1) Requiring or permitting a driver to drive without the vehicle's cargo being properly distributed and adequately secured (critical).
- §395.1(h)(1)(i) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 15 hours (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(1)(ii) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty 20 hours (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(1)(iii) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 70 hours in 7 consecutive days (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(1)(iv) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 80 hours in 8 consecutive days (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(2)(i) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 15 hours (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(2)(ii) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty 20 hours (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(2)(iii) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 70 hours in 7 consecutive days (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(h)(2)(iv) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 80 hours in 8 consecutive days (Driving in Alaska) (critical).
- §395.1(o) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty 16 consecutive hours (critical).
- §395.3(a)(1) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive without taking an off-duty period of at least 10 consecutive hours prior to driving (critical).
- §395.3(a)(2) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after the end of the 14th hour after coming on duty (critical).
- §395.3(a)(3)(i) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 11 hours (critical).
- §395.3(a)(3)(ii) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive if more than 8 hours have passed since the end of the driver's last off-duty or sleeper-berth period of at least 30 minutes (critical).
- §395.3(b)(1) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 60 hours in 7 consecutive days (critical).
- §395.3(b)(2) Requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 70 hours in 8 consecutive days (critical).
- §395.5(a)(1) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive more than 10 hours (critical).
- §395.5(a)(2) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty 15 hours (critical).
- §395.5(b)(1) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 60 hours in 7 consecutive days (critical).
- §395.5(b)(2) Requiring or permitting a passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after having been on duty more than 70 hours in 8 consecutive days (critical).
- §395.8(a) Failing to require driver to make a record of duty status (critical).
- §395.8(e) False reports of records of duty status (critical).
- §395.8(f) Failing to require driver to forward within 13 days of completion, the original of the record of duty status (critical).
- §395.8(k)(1) Failing to preserve driver's record of duty status for 6 months (critical).
- §395.8(k)(1) Failing to preserve driver's records of duty status supporting documents for 6 months (critical).
- §396.3(b) Failing to keep minimum records of inspection and vehicle maintenance (critical).
- §396.9(c)(2) Requiring or permitting the operation of a motor vehicle declared "out-of-service" before repairs were made (acute).
- §396.11(a) Failing to require driver to prepare driver vehicle inspection report (critical).
- §396.11(c) Failing to correct Out-of-Service defects listed by driver in a driver vehicle inspection report before the vehicle is operated again (acute).
- §396.17(a) Using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected (critical).
- §396.17(g) Failing to promptly repair parts and accessories not meeting minimum periodic inspection standards (acute).
- §397.5(a) Failing to ensure a motor vehicle containing Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 (explosive) material is attended at all times by its driver or a qualified representative (acute).
- §397.7(a)(1) Parking a motor vehicle containing Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials within 5 feet of traveled portion of highway or street (critical).

§397.7(b) Parking a motor vehicle containing hazardous material(s) other than Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials within 5 feet of traveled portion of highway or street (critical).

§397.13(a) Permitting a person to smoke or carry a lighted cigarette, cigar or pipe within 25 feet of a motor vehicle containing Class 1 materials, Class 5 materials, or flammable materials classified as Division 2.1, Class 3, Divisions 4.1 and 4.2 (critical).

§397.19(a) Failing to furnish driver of motor vehicle transporting Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 (explosive) materials with a copy of the rules of part 397 and/or emergency response instructions (critical).

§397.67(d) Requiring or permitting the operation of a motor vehicle containing explosives in Class 1, Divisions 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 that is not accompanied by a written route plan (critical).

§397.101(d) Requiring or permitting the operation of a motor vehicle containing highway route-controlled quantity, as defined in §173.403, of radioactive materials that is not accompanied by a written route plan.

§171.15 Carrier failing to give immediate telephone notice of an incident involving hazardous materials (critical).

§171.16 Carrier failing to make a written report of an incident involving hazardous materials (critical).

§172.313(a) Accepting for transportation or transporting a package containing a poisonous-by-inhalation material that is not marked with the words "Inhalation Hazard" (acute).

§172.704(a)(4) Failing to provide security awareness training (critical).

§172.704(a)(5) Failing to provide in-depth security awareness training (critical).

§172.800(b) Transporting HM without a security plan (acute).

§172.800(b) Transporting HM without a security plan that conforms to Subpart I requirements (acute).

§172.800(b) Failure to adhere to a required security plan (acute).

§172.802(b) Failure to make copies of security plan available to hazmat employees (critical).

§173.24(b)(1) Accepting for transportation or transporting a package that has an identifiable release of a hazardous material to the environment (acute).

§173.421(a) Accepting for transportation or transporting a Class 7 (radioactive) material described, marked, and packaged as a limited quantity when the radiation level on the surface of the package exceeds 0.005mSv/hour (0.5 mrem/hour) (acute).

§173.431(a) Accepting for transportation or transporting in a Type A packaging a greater quantity of Class 7 (radioactive) material than authorized (acute).

§173.431(b) Accepting for transportation or transporting in a Type B packaging a greater quantity of Class 7 (radioactive) material than authorized (acute).

§173.441(a) Accepting for transportation or transporting a package containing Class 7 (radioactive) material with external radiation exceeding allowable limits (acute).

§173.442(b) Accepting for transportation or transporting a package containing Class 7 (radioactive) material when the temperature of the accessible external surface of the loaded package exceeds 50 °C (122 °F) in other than an exclusive use shipment, or 85 °C (185 °F) in an exclusive use shipment (acute).

§173.443(a) Accepting for transportation or transporting a package containing Class 7 (radioactive) material with removable contamination on the external surfaces of the package in excess of permissible limits (acute).

§177.800(c) Failing to instruct a category of employees in hazardous materials regulations (critical).

§177.801 Accepting for transportation or transporting a forbidden material (acute).

§177.835(a) Loading or unloading a Class 1 (explosive) material with the engine running (acute).

§177.835(c) Accepting for transportation or transporting Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 (explosive) materials in a motor vehicle or combination of vehicles that is not permitted (acute).

§177.835(f) Transferring Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 (explosive) materials between containers or motor vehicles when not permitted (acute).

§177.817(a) Transporting a shipment of hazardous materials not accompanied by a properly prepared shipping paper (critical).

§177.817(e) Failing to maintain proper accessibility of shipping papers (critical).

§177.823(a) Moving a transport vehicle containing hazardous material that is not properly marked or placarded (critical).

§177.841(e) Transporting a package bearing a poison label in the same transport vehicle with material marked or known to be foodstuff, feed, or any edible material intended for consumption by humans or animals unless an exception in §177.841(e)(i) or (ii) is met (acute).

§180.407(a) Transporting a shipment of hazardous material in cargo tank that has not been inspected or retested in accordance with §180.407 (critical).

§180.407(c) Failing to periodically test and inspect a cargo tank (critical).

§180.415 Failing to mark a cargo tank which passed an inspection or test required by §180.407 (critical).

§180.417(a)(1) Failing to retain cargo tank manufacturer's data report certificate and related papers, as required (critical).

§180.417(a)(2) Failing to retain copies of cargo tank manufacturer's certificate and related papers (or alternative report) as required (critical).

[62 FR 60043, Nov. 6, 1997, as amended at 63 FR 62959, Nov. 10, 1998; 65 FR 11907, Mar. 7, 2000; 68 FR 22513, Apr. 28, 2003; 70 FR 50070, Aug. 25, 2005; 72 FR 36789, July 5, 2007; 72 FR 71269, Dec. 17, 2007; 75 FR 17244, Apr. 5, 2010; 76 FR 26897, May 9, 2011; 76 FR 81186, Dec. 27, 2011; 77 FR 28450, 28454, May 14, 2012; 77 FR 59826, Oct. 1, 2012]

Onstar Limousine LLC  
18961 108<sup>th</sup> Ln Se  
Renton, WA 98055

**RECEIVED**  
NOV 09 2011  
WASH. UT. & TP. COMM

Attn: Ray Gardner

*Assignment # 111263*

Correcting the violations

1. The maintenance files for the carrier were checked for compliance and it was found that the carrier does not have on file a way to indicate the nature and due date of various operations and inspections to be performed.

I have prepared cover letter indicate the nature and due date of various operations and inspections to be performed.


2. Failing to keep a record of test conducted on push out windows, emergency doors, and emergency door making lights on buses.

I have scheduled to have Pushout windows, emergency doors, and emergency door inspected every 90 days & Keep records.

**3.Pre-trip Inspection:** Before starting out, the driver must be satisfied that the motor vehicle is in safe operating condition. If the last driver vehicle inspection report (DVIR) notes any defects, the driver must review and sign to acknowledge that necessary repairs have been completed.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact us at 206-788-6990.

Sincerely



Tekeste S. Tekle

*CC: Ray Gardner*